

EXHIBIT 1

JAMES v. HILLIARD HAMPTON, ET AL.

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN

August 11, 2017

Prepared for you by



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids
Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw • Troy

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p> <p>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION</p> <p>SYLVIA JAMES, Plaintiff, vs. Case No. 2:12-cv-10273 Hon. Paul D. Borman Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen HILLIARD HAMPTON, et al., Defendants.</p> <hr/> <p>The Deposition of DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN, Taken 3030 W. Grand Boulevard, 10th Floor, Detroit, Michigan, Commencing at 9:25 a.m., Friday, August 11, 2017, Before Sharon Campbell, CSR-3406.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p> <p>1 BRETT A. ASHER 2 The Mike Cox Law Firm, PLLC 3 17430 Laurel Park Drive North 4 Suite 120E 5 Livonia, Michigan 48152 6 734.591.4002 7 basher@mikecoxlaw.com 8 Appearng on behalf of the Defendant, Anderson. 9</p> <p>10 ADAM T. RATLIFF 11 Warner, Norcross & Judd, LLP 12 111 Lyon Street NW 13 Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503-2487 14 616.752.2539 15 aratliff@wnj.com 16 Appearng on behalf of the Defendant, Fischer. 17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p> <p>1 APPEARANCES: 2 3 JASON R. HIRSCH 4 Morganroth & Morganroth, PLLC 5 344 North Old Woodward Avenue 6 Suite 200 7 Birmingham, Michigan 48009 8 248.864.4000 9 jhirsch@morganrothlaw.com 10 Appearng on behalf of the Plaintiff. 11 12 JEANMARIE MILLER 13 Michigan Attorney General 14 PO Box 30736 15 Lansing, Michigan 48909 16 517.373.6434 17 millerj51@michigan.gov 18 Appearng on behalf of the Defendant, JTC, Washington, 19 and Green. 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p> <p>1 TABLE OF CONTENTS 2 3 WITNESS PAGE 4 DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN 5 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. HIRSCH: 5 8 9 EXHIBITS 10 11 Exhibit Page 12 (Exhibits attached to transcript.) 13 14 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 1 16 15 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 2 56 16 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 3 58 17 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 4 63 18 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 5 79 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p>

Pages 1 to 4

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 5	Page 7
<p>1 Detroit, Michigan 2 Friday, August 11, 2017 3 9:25 a.m. 4 5 DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN, 6 was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after 7 having first been duly sworn to testify to the truth, 8 the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was 9 examined and testified as follows: 10 MR. HIRSCH: Good morning. My name is 11 Jason Hirsch. I'm one of the attorneys for the 12 plaintiff in this matter. Let the record show that 13 this is the deposition of Deborah Green taken pursuant 14 to notice under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. HIRSCH: 17 Q. Ms. Green, I will be asking you a series of questions. 18 You were just sworn in. You understand your answers 19 are under oath? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And please answer verbally so that we can make sure 22 your answer gets recorded, okay? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And if at any time you don't understand a question, 25 please say so and I will try to clarify, okay?</p>	<p>1 Q. That would be a BA in history? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. And you attended law school, correct? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. And that was at Wayne State University, correct? 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. And when did you get your law degree? 8 A. 1984. 9 Q. That would be a JD, correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And are you a member of the State Bar of Michigan? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Are you a member of the state bars of any other 14 states? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Are you admitted to any Federal courts? 17 A. No. I think I used to be, but I'm not now. 18 Q. Where did you used to be admitted? 19 A. A long, long time ago I started out at Miller 20 Canfield, and I believe I was admitted to the 6th 21 Circuit in Cincinnati just as an associate on some 22 long ago case. That's my memory talking. 23 Q. Have you ever been subject to any professional 24 discipline? 25 A. No.</p>
<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And please make sure to let me finish my entire 3 question before you answer, both to make sure that you 4 understand my question and because the court reporter 5 can't record two of us talking at once, okay? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And if at any time I interrupt you or you haven't 8 completed your answer, please let me know, and I will 9 permit you to finish your answer for the record, okay? 10 A. Okay. 11 Q. And if at any time you need a break, preferably not 12 mid question, but other than that, just let me know, 13 and we can take a break, okay? 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Can I have your full name for the record, please? 16 A. Deborah Lynn Evans Green. 17 Q. Can I have your date of birth, ma'am? 18 A. October 17, 1957. 19 Q. You attended undergraduate at Penn State University; 20 is that correct? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. What time period? 23 A. Oh, goodness. I graduated in 1978. 24 Q. And what was your degree? 25 A. History.</p>	<p>1 Q. Are you a member of any professional associations, 2 like the ABA, for example? 3 A. No. I don't know if this is part of your question, 4 but when I retired a year ago, I got certified as a 5 court reporter, so I actually do transcripts on my 6 own. So -- 7 Q. I'm sorry, go ahead. 8 A. So I don't know if that's the kind of professional 9 organization, but I am now a certified court reporter. 10 Q. So that's a certification from the State of Michigan, 11 correct? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. But you're not a member of the American Bar 14 Association, for example? 15 A. No. I used to be, but I'm not now. 16 Q. You are still a member of the State Bar of Michigan, 17 correct? 18 A. Oh, yes. 19 Q. So you maintain that? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Could you -- well, strike that. 22 You mentioned that you are now retired, 23 correct? 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. Although you are doing some court reporting, correct?</p>

Pages 5 to 8

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p> <p>1 A. Correct. 2 Q. When did you retire? 3 A. June 1 of last year, 2016. 4 Q. And you retired from the State Court Administrator's 5 Office, correct? 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. Was there a reason or it was just time to retire? 8 A. My husband has Parkinson's disease. I needed to stay 9 home more, which is why it's nice to do the 10 transcripts from home. 11 Q. Could you give me your employment history from the 12 time you graduated law school forward? 13 A. Okay. I started out at Miller, Canfield, Paddock and 14 Stone. I was there approximately two to three years. 15 I left there when my first child was born, stayed home 16 for a bit, taught law, taught prelaw at Henry Ford 17 College. I then went back to work. I'm sorry I 18 forgot -- the small firm downriver who doesn't exist 19 anymore. I will remember the name. They were in 20 Trenton. I was only with them for a year, and then I 21 joined Pagnucco, Kruze, Tamsen and Labadie, and was 22 the city attorney for the City of Allen Park for about 23 six years. Then I left there. So I did their 24 criminal prosecution. And then I became the court 25 administrator at the 24th District Court in Allen</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Do you remember the timeframe of that? 3 A. Well, let's see. He was born in '86, and my second -- 4 my daughter was born in '89, so it would have been 5 '87, '88, and it was a very, very part-time job. 6 Q. And those were undergraduate courses -- 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. -- or e-course, correct? 9 A. Right, Intro to Law. 10 Q. And then you mentioned you couldn't recall the name, 11 but you said a small firm located in Trenton, correct? 12 Do you recall the time period of that? 13 A. Burley, Barton, Misco and Falzone. That would have 14 been in 1990. 15 Q. And do you recall the sort of work you did for Burley, 16 Barton? 17 A. I was for lack of a better word a general 18 practitioner. I represented a lot of small businesses 19 in the downriver area. 20 Q. I think after that you mentioned, and I hope I got the 21 name right, I think you said Pagnucco, Kruze? 22 A. Pagnucco, P-A-G-N-U-C-C-O. 23 Q. And what period of time were you at Pagnucco, Kruze? 24 A. That would have been '91 to '96-ish. 25 Q. And you were an associate there?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p> <p>1 Park. I was there for about two years, became a court 2 administrator at the 33rd District Court in Woodhaven, 3 was there for about five or six years, and then joined 4 the State Court Administrative Office. 5 Q. If we could just go through this just so I can get 6 some timeframes. You started at Miller Canfield -- 7 A. Correct. 8 Q. -- after you obtained your law degree, so that would 9 beginning around 1984? 10 A. I actually clerked with them while I was still in law 11 school for a year and a half maybe from my second 12 summer on and then got officially hired by them when I 13 graduated, yes. 14 Q. So two to three years as an associate from 1984 to -- 15 A. Ish. 16 Q. -- for two to three years? 17 A. To '86 is when my son was born, so yes. 18 Q. And what sort of work did you do as an associate at 19 Miller, Canfield? 20 A. I was in the business division. I started out in the 21 now defunct field of antitrust law, not a good choice, 22 and then primarily worked on banking cases. 23 Q. And then you mentioned you spent some time away from 24 employment after your son was born, and then you went 25 back and taught prelaw at Henry Ford, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p> <p>1 A. Correct. 2 Q. And I think one aspect that you mentioned of your work 3 there was a city attorney for Allen Park, correct? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. Was that really what you specialized in or any other 6 area you worked in? 7 A. I still maintained my private clients. I still 8 represented a lot of small businesses in the city area 9 along with being city attorney. 10 Q. I think next you said you became the court 11 administrator for the 24th District Court, correct? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. And you said that was for about two years. Do you 14 recall the year, years for that? 15 A. It must have been '96 to '97 and maybe into '98. 16 Q. And then following that position you served as court 17 administrator for the 33rd District Court, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And you said that was for the five to six years, I 20 assume that was immediately following? 21 A. Correct. And I think I'm actually right on my years, 22 because I started this job in June of 2003, so that's 23 actually five years from '98. 24 Q. And so June of 2003 is when you started at the State Court Administrator's Office, correct?</p>

Pages 9 to 12

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p>Page 13</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. In terms of your job as a court administrator, and 3 certainly if there was differences between the 24th 4 District Court and the 33rd District Court, you can 5 tell me, if not I will try to ask you just generally 6 what were your duties as a court administrator in 7 those district courts?</p> <p>8 A. Okay. Generally I was -- I managed the business 9 operations of the court, the human resources, the 10 budget, the benefits. Every court administrator is 11 basically acting under the authority of the chief 12 judge, so it's chief judge really has the 13 administrative authority per court rule over every 14 court, but then they also have the ability to 15 delegate. So I will say that between the 24th and the 16 33rd, the exact ways that I achieved those things was 17 different, but the responsibilities were still the 18 same for the business operations of the court, the 19 case flow management, budget management, HR functions, 20 facilities management.</p> <p>21 Q. Now, in June of 2003 you started at the State Court 22 Administrator's Office, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. And you were a regional administrator; is that 25 correct?</p>	<p>1 Q. So at that time in 2013, though, you stayed with 2 Region 1 --</p> <p>3 A. Correct.</p> <p>4 Q. -- you stayed with the originator? 5 The makeup of Region 1 changed?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Are you aware that there was subsequently a change 8 where Wayne County was separated into its own region?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Did that happen while you were there or was that 11 later?</p> <p>12 A. It happened upon my leaving, because I wouldn't do it 13 while I was still there.</p> <p>14 Q. I'm not sure I understand exactly --</p> <p>15 A. I didn't want to be a one county region.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay.</p> <p>17 A. And so they had wanted to change the makeup of the 18 regions for quite some time, and I really was not 19 interested in only working -- covering Wayne County, 20 so it was -- it's not a coincidence that the regions 21 changed in June 2016 when I left.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So those two events, that was your decision, 23 they were going to change the region, and --</p> <p>24 A. When I left.</p> <p>25 Q. When you left?</p>
<p>Page 14</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. And which region did you administer? They are 3 numbered, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Region 1. At that time there were four regions in the 5 state, and I was Region 1.</p> <p>6 Q. And what did Region 1 include at the time you started?</p> <p>7 A. The seven counties of southeast Michigan, Wayne, 8 Oakland, Macomb, Genesee, Washtenaw, Monroe. What am 9 I missing?</p> <p>10 Q. Livingston?</p> <p>11 A. Not Livingston, thank goodness.</p> <p>12 MS. MILLER: Lapeer?</p> <p>13 A. Not Lapeer. Oh, Saint Clair, Port Huron.</p> <p>14 BY MR. HIRSCH:</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Now, was that your region the entire time you 16 were employed by the State Court Administrator's 17 Office?</p> <p>18 A. No. In 2013 we took over superintendent control of 19 the 36th District Court in downtown Detroit, and at 20 that time the supreme court felt that Region 1 was 21 sort of overloaded, and so at that time Region 1 22 became just the three counties of Wayne, Oakland, and 23 Macomb, and the other four counties were sort of 24 divvied up into a fifth region, and so from that point 25 on there were five regions in the state.</p>	<p>Page 16</p> <p>1 A. That was the deal.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And do you know why there was this change to 3 make Region 1 Wayne County only?</p> <p>4 A. My answer is because it took three people to do what I 5 had done for 13 years, but they really felt that Wayne 6 County needed more direct attention. The third 7 circuit, 36th District Court, they felt that given the 8 issues in Genesee, for instance, in Flint, and some of 9 the other courts that were part of my original region, 10 they just felt that Wayne County needed its own 11 separate attention.</p> <p>12 Q. And Wayne County is the biggest county, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, in number of judges, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. Fair enough. When you said they felt, who was 15 the they --</p> <p>16 A. The supreme court.</p> <p>17 Q. -- in your sentence?</p> <p>18 A. I'm sorry. I'm interrupted you.</p> <p>19 Q. You are familiar with Judge Sylvia James, correct?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 MR. HIRSCH: Can you mark this, please?</p> <p>22 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:</p> <p>23 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 1</p> <p>24 9:41 a.m.</p> <p>25 BY MR. HIRSCH:</p>

Pages 13 to 16

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p> <p>1 Q. Ms. Green, our court reporter has just handed you what 2 we have marked as Deposition Exhibit 1. Do you have 3 that in front of you?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you recognize that document?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. That is a grievance that you filed against Judge 8 James; is that correct?</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Now, the front page is dated June 14, 2011. Do you 11 see that?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And do you see the subsequent pages, there is a header 14 that is actually dated June 10th. Do you see that?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Do you know why there is any difference of dates?</p> <p>17 A. The only thing that I can think of is that Microsoft 18 Word will like date stuff the date you open it, so my 19 guess is I drafted this on the 10th and then somehow 20 reopened it on the 14th, and so it redated the first 21 page. I shouldn't have -- I don't know.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. You would agree with me that you submitted this 23 to Paul Fischer at the Judicial Tenure Commission 24 around June 14th?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Do you recall whether that was before or after 2 June 14, 2011?</p> <p>3 A. It was before, I believe, in April, I think, but I 4 don't really remember the date.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Did you have any involvement in the decision to 6 place Judge James on administrative leave?</p> <p>7 A. Well, involvement in the decision, no. The decision 8 came from the Supreme Court, but I had raised some of 9 my concerns to them, which caused them to choose the 10 action of putting her on administrative leave.</p> <p>11 Q. When you say you had raised concerns to the Supreme 12 Court, did you do that in writing?</p> <p>13 A. I don't remember. I know I went in front of them. 14 They have an administrative hearing day. I went in 15 front of them and presented that to them in person en 16 banc.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you know if that's on the record?</p> <p>18 A. It is not on the record. I must have put something in 19 writing prior to that because I don't think you get on 20 their agenda without giving them something in writing.</p> <p>21 Q. So in terms of actual discussion you had with the 22 justices, was that the only discussion at this en banc 23 hearing as part of the administrative docket?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. No other discussions with the chief justice?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p> <p>1 Q. What prompted you to file this request for 2 investigation?</p> <p>3 A. Well, I think it stated in the letter. We had been 4 looking into a series of issues with the court, and 5 they had risen to the level that I felt needed the 6 tenure commission's attention.</p> <p>7 Q. So to the best of your knowledge, this letter was true 8 and accurate certainly as of the date it was 9 written --</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. -- in terms of the facts you had at that time, 12 correct?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. You knew Judge James prior to this time, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Ever since I took my job, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. And you had met her in person prior to June of 2011, 17 correct?</p> <p>18 A. Oh, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. You knew Judge James was African American, 20 correct?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Now, do you recall there was a time when Judge James 23 had been placed on administrator leave by the Supreme 24 Court?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p> <p>1 A. Not prior to the hearing, no, afterwards, yes, but not 2 prior.</p> <p>3 Q. And no other discussions with any of the associate 4 justices prior to the hearing?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Now, you said you did have some discussions with the 7 chief justice about Judge James after the hearing on 8 the administrative docket, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Did you also have any discussions with any of the 11 other justices after the hearing on the administrative 12 docket?</p> <p>13 A. I don't believe so, no, that's -- I don't normally 14 speak with the associate justices.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you recall when you had this discussion -- well, 16 strike that.</p> <p>17 Was it one discussion with the chief justice?</p> <p>18 A. There was one that I remember, yes, one.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. What was the nature of that discussion with the 20 chief justice?</p> <p>21 A. It was still that day, but it was after the hearing 22 and it was basically how to implement their decision.</p> <p>23 Q. Did the court make a decision then during that hearing 24 on the administrative docket?</p>

Pages 17 to 20

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And what was the decision they made during the</p> <p>3 administrative docket?</p> <p>4 A. To put her on administrative leave and for me to</p> <p>5 appoint an outside chief judge to come in and operate</p> <p>6 the court.</p> <p>7 Q. And that decision is memorialized in an order,</p> <p>8 correct --</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. -- an administrative order?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Was there a vote then for that decision?</p> <p>13 A. I believe they did vote, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you recall if anyone voted against that?</p> <p>15 A. It was unanimous.</p> <p>16 Q. Now, do you recall when this hearing on the</p> <p>17 administrative docket took place?</p> <p>18 A. Whatever day -- I think it was in April -- that she</p> <p>19 was put on administrative leave, it was that day.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. So the order came down that same day, and she</p> <p>21 was put on administrative leave as soon as the order</p> <p>22 gets entered, correct?</p> <p>23 A. Correct. The order might have actually come out the</p> <p>24 next day, but I believe the action was taken that day.</p> <p>25 I really -- but, yeah, right contemporaneous, the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p> <p>1 A. That was one of the options. I had also suggested</p> <p>2 or -- suggested is pretty important -- but that</p> <p>3 possibly we bring in an outside chief judge, let her</p> <p>4 still handle the cases, but just bring in an outside</p> <p>5 chief judge to handle the management of the court, the</p> <p>6 financial side. So I didn't recommend any one course</p> <p>7 of action to them. I said here is the thing, and we</p> <p>8 need -- I was most concerned about the financial</p> <p>9 situation of the court, and so that's when they made</p> <p>10 the decision, because of the concerns about tampering</p> <p>11 and all that, to put her on administrative leave so</p> <p>12 that we could move forward.</p> <p>13 Q. Was there a decision made at the time she was, Judge</p> <p>14 James was placed on administrative leave, to go</p> <p>15 forward to pursue a grievance?</p> <p>16 A. Not at that moment in time, no.</p> <p>17 Q. Did the Supreme Court direct you to take any steps</p> <p>18 then?</p> <p>19 A. As regional administrator I have the authority to</p> <p>20 appoint outside judges to sit in any court in the</p> <p>21 land, so their direction to me was to bring in an</p> <p>22 outside judge to operate the court in Judge James'</p> <p>23 absence while we basically got our hands on everything</p> <p>24 that like the auditor had found and some of these</p> <p>25 other things that we believed were going on, and so it</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p> <p>1 whole thing.</p> <p>2 Q. Very close in time?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you know why it is then that the grievance was not</p> <p>5 filed until around June 14th, 2011, when Judge James</p> <p>6 had been placed on administrative leave in April 2011?</p> <p>7 A. Part of why she was placed on administrative leave was</p> <p>8 the concern that if she was still there, she would</p> <p>9 tamper with evidence. We didn't think that at that</p> <p>10 time in April -- we knew plenty, as you can tell from</p> <p>11 my letter, but we didn't think we knew everything, and</p> <p>12 so that was the reason. I wanted to basically gather</p> <p>13 any other evidence, see if this thing mushroomed even</p> <p>14 more than it already had, and then so by June I felt I</p> <p>15 had enough evidence and enough tangible things to</p> <p>16 report and report it to the JTC.</p> <p>17 Q. When you say there was a concern that she would tamper</p> <p>18 with evidence, was that a concern you expressed?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Was that a concern the chief justice expressed?</p> <p>21 A. It was a concern all the justices expressed at the</p> <p>22 hearing.</p> <p>23 Q. Did you make a request as to what should happen at the</p> <p>24 administrative hearing, in other words, did you say I</p> <p>25 think she should be placed on leave?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p> <p>1 was -- I can't say that -- it was certainly in my</p> <p>2 mind, and I think in a lot of people's minds, that</p> <p>3 this would lead to a tenure complaint, but it wasn't</p> <p>4 on April, whatever date we were there, that wasn't my</p> <p>5 main focus.</p> <p>6 Q. So just so I'm clear, it sounds like, and you will</p> <p>7 correct me on this, but it sounds like there were two</p> <p>8 things you were directed to do. One is since the</p> <p>9 Supreme Court had made the decision to place her on</p> <p>10 administrative leave, in your role as administrator I</p> <p>11 presume you would have had to appoint some other</p> <p>12 judge, right, I mean somebody has to get in there?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. And the second thing was to continue to investigate</p> <p>15 the concerns that had led to the administrative leave;</p> <p>16 is that fair?</p> <p>17 A. No, they didn't order me to continue to investigate.</p> <p>18 You know, Val Washington, we brought him in. I</p> <p>19 actually was very infrequently feet on the ground at</p> <p>20 the court, so I wasn't investigating, but I think my</p> <p>21 auditor followed up on a few things, and then Val</p> <p>22 Washington would bring his concerns to me. I wasn't</p> <p>23 there investigating, and they certainly didn't order</p> <p>24 me to investigate. They ordered me -- Chief Justice</p> <p>25 Young put her on administrative leave, called her and</p>

Pages 21 to 24

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p> <p>1 he put her on administrative leave, I didn't, and I 2 appointed a chief judge to come in and run the court 3 and found other judges to manage the caseload as well. 4 That didn't last long, but and then basically sort of 5 put all this together. 6 Q. So did you then begin to assemble your grievance 7 complaint as soon as Judge James was placed on 8 administrative leave? 9 A. Well, I would have to go through this point by point, 10 but some of this stuff I already had, like the items 11 from Charlene McLemore's audit. The travel stuff, 12 that kind of evolved. And again, let me go back and 13 add to your previous question about the time between 14 April and June. It kept growing, and so I didn't want 15 to file a complaint and then have to file another one, 16 you know, and so as we are going on almost daily it 17 kept growing until I felt, at this point in time 18 finally, I felt like I had the whole picture. 19 Q. Well, but you said you weren't actually actively 20 investigating, correct? 21 A. Correct, no. 22 Q. But you said that Ms. McLemore -- who is the auditor, 23 correct? 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. She was continuing her audit work, I assume, correct?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p> <p>1 administrative aspect, but visiting judges were coming 2 in to actually handle the caseload? 3 A. I think Judge Washington was still solely acting as 4 chief administrative judge when I filed this. I 5 believe it was later in the summer when we needed him 6 to take on caseload. 7 Q. So prior to this Judge James had been the chief judge, 8 correct? 9 A. Correct. It's a one judge court. 10 Q. And she handled both the administrative aspect and the 11 cases, correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Why was it that Judge Washington when he became acting 14 chief judge could only handle the administrative side 15 and not also handle the cases? 16 A. It wasn't that he couldn't, it's that we wanted him to 17 focus on the business side of the court, the 18 administrative side of the court, and that was 19 initially what he agreed to do. He didn't want to 20 handle the caseload. So it wasn't that he couldn't 21 have, it's that that wasn't why we brought him in. 22 Q. So when you say focus on the business side, what 23 direction did you give him as to what he was to do on 24 the business side? 25 A. Really very little. We brought him in as chief, and</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p> <p>1 A. Following up, yes. 2 Q. Did you direct her to do that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you also said that you would -- well, strike that. 5 First so the record is clear, Judge 6 Washington was the judge appointed to take over after 7 Judge James was placed on administrative leave, 8 correct? 9 A. He was appointed as the chief judge to take over the 10 administrative duties. We appointed some other judges 11 from Wayne County to take over the cases. 12 Q. Okay. So would his position be the interim chief 13 judge at that time? 14 A. I believe we called him acting chief, yes. 15 Q. But he was not handling the docket, he was just 16 handling administrative duties? 17 A. At that point in time, that's correct. After awhile 18 we couldn't get visiting judges. It went on way 19 longer than we thought, and so he ended up taking 20 cases as well. 21 Q. Okay. So when you say at that time, is that the time 22 from roughly the time she is placed on administrative 23 leave until the grievance is filed? 24 A. At that time what? I forgot. 25 Q. That Judge Washington was just handling the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p> <p>1 intentionally sort of brought him in and said you have 2 been a chief judge before, go look around, see what's 3 what, fix what needs fixing, tell us what you need 4 help with, what you are worried about, gave him a 5 couple of directive -- not directives, but like there 6 had been a previous audit that had findings in it, and 7 sort of used that as kind of a roadmap here, look at 8 these things, Charlene's audit, here, look at these 9 things, but other than that, you go be chief judge. 10 Q. Now, you did say -- Charlene is Ms. McLemore, correct? 11 A. Correct, I'm sorry. 12 Q. And so she was continuing to follow up on her audit, 13 right? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And during that time then, in that time I mean while 16 Judge James was on administrative leave but before the 17 grievance was filed, she would give you some feedback? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. And so some of that you were using to compile 20 what ended up being the June grievance, right? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And I think you also mentioned that you received some 23 information from Judge Washington, correct? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. You didn't solicit the information, correct?</p>

Pages 25 to 28

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p> <p>1 A. Correct.</p> <p>2 Q. But Judge Washington would call you up when he discovered certain things, correct?</p> <p>3 A. Correct, or shoot me an e-mail or whatever, but communicate with me.</p> <p>4 Q. All right. So what information he provided to you, 5 that was in his discretion?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. So attached to the grievance you have some back-up 8 material, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. You have a bunch of exhibits here. If we could look 11 at some of them, if you turn, for example, to Exhibit 12 A or Tab A, I guess, to the grievance --</p> <p>13 A. Okay.</p> <p>14 Q. -- that's a March 18th, 2011 letter from you to Judge 15 James, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Yes. This is from Charlene McLemore.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. I apologize, it's from Ms. McLemore. You were 18 copied on it, correct?</p> <p>19 A. Correct.</p> <p>20 Q. And it's sort of under your letterhead, right --</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. -- you are in the upper left on page 1?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p> <p>1 the March 18, 2011 letter?</p> <p>2 A. This is Charlene McLemore's document, so yes, this is what she prepared to show me.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. But all I'm trying to get at, though, is that 4 was part of the backup initially for the March 18th 5 letter, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. So that information was known before Judge 8 James was placed on administrative leave?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And if we could look at Tab C, that's an April 7th, 11 2011 letter from you to Judge James, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And you are providing Judge James some questions that 14 you say were directed to her by the chief justice, 15 correct?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. Now, do you recall, was this letter written before or 18 after that administrative docket hearing?</p> <p>19 A. Before. This notified her to provide a response -- oh, here, the administrative conference was on Wednesday, April 13th. We needed to receive her response prior to that conference.</p> <p>20 Q. So this helps us with some timing, right?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p> <p>1 Q. You were familiar with this letter, though, in the 2 March 2011 timeframe; is that fair?</p> <p>3 A. Oh, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. So this letter raised, I think Ms. McLemore's words 5 are some areas of concern, do you see that?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. And it had to do with the Community Service Fund Bank 8 Account, correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes. I think -- I believe this letter is just concerned about the Community Service Account, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. So as of March 2011, the -- and if I call it the SCAO 11 you will know what I'm referring to?</p> <p>12 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>13 Q. The SCAO knew about -- well, strike that. 14 As of March 2011 the SCAO had expressed 15 concerns about the Community Service fund, right?</p> <p>16 A. Correct.</p> <p>17 Q. And that's before Judge James is placed on 18 administrative leave?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. If we could turn to Tab B, and this looks like check 21 register info from that account?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. And I think that was -- well, strike that. 24 Was that part of what was reviewed prior to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p> <p>1 Q. Now, I thought you had told me that you had not had 2 discussions with Justice Young before the 3 administrative conference; was that correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, that's what I said.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Does this perhaps refresh your recollection 6 that maybe you did have a conversation with Justice 7 Young that at least resulted in these questions?</p> <p>8 A. I don't know that I spoke directly with Justice Young about this. I believe typically the way things work is us peons would talk to Chad Schmucker at the time -- he was also a judge -- and the state court administrator would talk to the chief justice, so I don't believe I personally talked to Chief Justice Young prior to that administrative hearing. I believe Chad Schmucker did.</p> <p>10 Q. Well, do you recall having discussions with Mr. 11 Schmucker about Judge James prior to the, what we now 12 can put as an April 13th administrative hearing?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. What were your discussions with Mr. Schmucker?</p> <p>15 A. That I felt we, at the very least needed to appoint an outside chief judge, which requires Supreme Court, they are the ones that make the appointment, so I talked to Chad about, Mr. Schmucker, about that I needed to get in front of the Supreme Court.</p>

Pages 29 to 32

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p> <p>1 Q. Now, at that administrative hearing we talked about 2 you were there, you told us that, right? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did Mr. Schmucker also appear there? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Did he speak also? On this particular issue I'm 7 focussing on. 8 A. I don't believe so. If he did, it was very cursory. 9 Q. If we could turn to Tab D, this would appear to be the 10 response from Judge James to your April 7th letter, 11 correct? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. And just to be clear, do you recall receiving this 14 before that administrative hearing? I mean it doesn't 15 say how this letter was delivered. I mean it's dated 16 April 11. 17 A. I did receive it before the administrative hearing, 18 yes. 19 Q. Did this letter change at all any of your opinions 20 about what you were going to say at the administrative 21 hearing? 22 A. No. 23 Q. Did this letter get passed along to Mr. Schmucker? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Did this letter get passed along to the chief justice?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. It certainly couldn't be earlier than May 2nd if we 3 believe that date, and we have no reason not to, 4 right? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. And if we can turn to Tab F, and this one is entitled 7 22nd District Court Community Service Account Checks 8 by Payee at the top, do you see that? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Is this also -- well, strike that. 11 Do you know if this is also a document 12 prepared by Ms. McLemore? 13 A. It is. 14 Q. And you see also in the lower left when we look at 15 this in landscape, it has the same date, Monday, May 16 2, 2011, correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. So this would also be a document that you would have 19 received after Judge James was placed on 20 administrative leave? 21 A. Correct. Again, this is just reorganizing the 22 information from the check register. It's not new 23 information. 24 Q. So it's not new information, it's just a different 25 presentation?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p> <p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. If we could look at Tab E, that's a document entitled 3 22nd District Court Community Service Account 4 Disbursement Summary, do you see that? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you know what that document is? 7 A. This I believe was also created by Charlene McLemore. 8 She sort of presented the same information to us in a 9 number of different ways. The first one was the basic 10 check register that is sort of by check number, and 11 then she grouped things into similar types of 12 expenses, and so I believe that's what she called the 13 disbursement summary. 14 Q. And you will see in the -- sort of if you turn the 15 document -- well, you have the right orientation, but 16 you will see in the lower left when it's orientated in 17 sort of landscape, right -- 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. -- you see it says Monday, May 2, 2011? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Do you recall if you would have received this document 22 in or around, on or around May 2, 2011? 23 A. That would make sense. 24 Q. So this would probably be something that you received 25 after Judge James was placed on administrative leave?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p> <p>1 A. Correct. 2 Q. If we could turn to Tab G, and that looks to be a 3 series of checks and check requests. I'm not going to 4 go through every page, but would you generally agree 5 with me? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. Do you know what these were? 8 A. Checks and check requests. 9 Q. What was the purpose of attaching these to your 10 grievance, what were these meant to support? 11 A. I would have to go through them, but I believe this 12 section had to do with the concerns about travel 13 expenses. 14 Q. Okay. 15 A. I don't know if that's everything that's in here, but 16 I think that was what this section was about. 17 Q. Okay. And do you recall whether you had this group of 18 documents before Judge James was placed on 19 administrative leave? 20 A. I don't believe I did. 21 Q. Do you know where you got this group of documents 22 from? 23 A. From the court, from either Judge Washington or Pamela 24 Anderson, or it might -- either -- Charlene might have 25 requested some of it. I'm not sure, but it would have</p>

Pages 33 to 36

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p> <p>1 come from the court itself.</p> <p>2 Q. You will also note, for example, the very first</p> <p>3 document, it's a check, but it is from an account by</p> <p>4 the City of Inkster, right?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. I mean that's what it looks to be to me.</p> <p>8 Q. In other words, it's not an account where the holder</p> <p>9 is the District Court, right, I mean it says City of</p> <p>10 Inkster?</p> <p>11 A. It says City of Inkster, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. If you could look at the next Tab, Tab H --</p> <p>13 A. Okay.</p> <p>14 Q. First of all, do you recall who prepared -- well, I</p> <p>15 guess I'm sorry. There is a few pages here. Let's</p> <p>16 focus on the first page.</p> <p>17 A. Okay.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you recall who prepared that first page, entitled</p> <p>19 Judge James' Leave at the top?</p> <p>20 A. I don't know exactly who prepared it, but it came to</p> <p>21 me from the court.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you recall from who, who at the court sent it to</p> <p>23 you?</p> <p>24 A. Sent it to me, I believe it was Pamela Anderson, but I</p> <p>25 don't know that she created it.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p> <p>1 Q. And do you recall why you were attaching -- well,</p> <p>2 strike that.</p> <p>3 First of all, did you go into the SCAO</p> <p>4 records to get these copies?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And were you attaching them -- well, strike</p> <p>7 that.</p> <p>8 Was the purpose of attaching them behind</p> <p>9 this first page with this leave summary to show there</p> <p>10 was some disparity between whoever prepared this</p> <p>11 summary and the memorandums that were submitted?</p> <p>12 A. Well, partially, because I think there is other</p> <p>13 summaries from other years. Yeah, later on in the</p> <p>14 exhibit there is '06 of internal documents from the</p> <p>15 court, but yes, to show that there was a discrepancy</p> <p>16 between the records that the court had and what had</p> <p>17 been reported to me, to SCAO.</p> <p>18 Q. But as you said, you did not know who prepared the</p> <p>19 first page of this document, correct?</p> <p>20 A. Correct.</p> <p>21 Q. So you would have no way of knowing whether this</p> <p>22 document, page 1, that the first page of Tab H was</p> <p>23 accurate or whether the reports were accurate,</p> <p>24 correct?</p> <p>25 A. Correct.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Now, behind that it looks to be a number of</p> <p>2 pages, similar pages that are memos from Judge James</p> <p>3 to SCAO Region 1. Do you see those?</p> <p>4 A. Correct, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And some of them are a little different in format,</p> <p>6 right, but they look similar?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. And it looks to me like these are summaries of Judge</p> <p>9 James' leave for various time periods. Would that be</p> <p>10 correct?</p> <p>11 A. These are her annual leave reports to me, which is</p> <p>12 required.</p> <p>13 Q. So that's a document that -- well, strike that.</p> <p>14 Is each judge required to provide that</p> <p>15 document or is it each District Court?</p> <p>16 A. Well, each judge has to report their leave. It</p> <p>17 comes -- the chief judge of every court files it with</p> <p>18 us, so if you are multi judge court, the judges report</p> <p>19 to their chief and the chief sends a report to us.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. So in this case it doesn't matter, it happens</p> <p>21 to be a single judge court?</p> <p>22 A. Exactly.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. So the SCAO received these reports from Judge</p> <p>24 James each year, correct?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p> <p>1 Q. And did you do any further investigation to try to</p> <p>2 figure out which one of those was accurate?</p> <p>3 A. Well, I believe that's how we got these later internal</p> <p>4 memorandums, memoranda, because I asked for the actual</p> <p>5 documentation that the court had, which I think they</p> <p>6 had for some years but not others, if I remember, so,</p> <p>7 yeah, I followed up, but it was clear to me that there</p> <p>8 were definitely some discrepancies.</p> <p>9 Q. And if we could look at an example maybe of what you</p> <p>10 are talking about, you will see there is, I think it</p> <p>11 would be a Bates number in the center of the page --</p> <p>12 A. I don't know what you are looking at.</p> <p>13 Q. If we look at the little sort of --</p> <p>14 A. Oh, yeah, on all these.</p> <p>15 Q. -- that black box with the little numbers, so if we</p> <p>16 could refer to that. If we look at 2327, would that</p> <p>17 be an example of an internal memorandum?</p> <p>18 A. 2327, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And do you recall where you received these</p> <p>20 internal memorandum?</p> <p>21 A. The court sent them to me, whether it was Val</p> <p>22 Washington or Pam Anderson, or if I got them through</p> <p>23 Charlene, because she was on site much more than me,</p> <p>24 but I got them from the court.</p> <p>25 Q. This is not something that is submitted to the SCAO,</p>

Pages 37 to 40

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p> <p>1 correct, this was internal, meaning internal to the 2 22nd District Court so far as you understand? 3 A. Correct. 4 Q. And you understood these to reflect dates that Judge 5 James was on leave? 6 A. Yes. She is the only judge. 7 Q. Well, for example, in the one we were looking at, page 8 2327, the subject is requests for assignment 2006, 9 correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And it's from Gerry Hearn, do you see that? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Do you know who he is? 14 A. He's the former court administrator. 15 Q. And in the first sort of section is annual leave, do 16 you see that? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And you understood that to mean annual leave by Judge 19 James, not some other employee of the 22nd District 20 Court, correct? 21 A. Correct, because requests for assignment is requests 22 for assignment of an outside judge. 23 Q. Now, every day that a judge is out, there is not a 24 request for assignment for another judge, correct? 25 A. Not necessarily.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p> <p>1 your docket. 2 Q. Right. 3 A. So it's not unheard of that the judges are still 4 there, but usually it's not a day-to-day thing. 5 Usually it's because a judge is missing, especially in 6 a one judge court, if you are going to be gone, you 7 can't leave your court sitting fallow for a week or 8 more, so you need another judge to come in and keep 9 the cases going while you are gone. 10 Q. So just so you can help me out in terms of how you 11 interpreted these dates, because I'm a little 12 confused, so for example, under annual leave, and I'm 13 still looking at the same page, right, 2327 -- 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. -- for example, the first date under annual leave is 16 2-21, right, you see that? 17 A. Correct, yeah. 18 Q. But there is no assist with docket for 2-21, correct? 19 A. Correct. 20 Q. Okay. And conversely, for example, you see assist 21 with docket on 1-3, do you see that, that's the first 22 one? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. But there is no leave noted for 1-3, correct? 25 A. Yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p> <p>1 Q. Do you see the heading that says Assist With Docket? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you know what that means? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. What does that mean? 6 A. We do several kinds of assignments, and assist with 7 docket basically means another judge is coming into 8 the court to do just that, assist with the docket 9 because the judge is out sick or on vacation. It 10 differentiates -- we have different rules for assist 11 with docket assignments as opposed to assignments 12 where a judge has disqualified themselves. So this 13 was a simple assist with docket -- or that shows 14 assist with docket assignments. 15 Q. So assist with docket would mean that the sitting 16 judge is there? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Okay. Explain it again. I apologize. 19 A. I mean not -- it wouldn't make any sense for the judge 20 to be there, I mean unless they needed help, and we do 21 occasionally do that, assist with docket assignments. 22 Like let's say you get the Kwame Kilpatrick trial -- 23 Q. I remember. 24 A. -- and it's going to eat your whole docket, and you 25 need somebody to come in and help you with the rest of</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. So how did you interpret this? 2 A. I didn't. 3 Q. Okay. So when you were concerned about the numbers, 4 what were you comparing? 5 A. Well, first off, just annual leave alone she reported 6 far less days to us than apparently her court had 7 records for internally. 8 Q. So in other words, you are saying just so I 9 understand, that on the page we were looking at, you 10 were looking at the 31, the total for annual leave; is 11 that correct? 12 A. Well, and I was also looking at -- we had a general 13 concern for her attendance, and so -- but yes, because 14 if you look at what she reported to us for '06, she 15 reports 19 days of annual leave -- or wait, this is 16 '06. This is what she reported for '05. But none of 17 it matched up, and plus it was an excessive amount of 18 time off the bench. 19 Q. But just so I'm understanding this, was it the 20 suggestion that it was the 31 days plus the 20 assist 21 with docket days that Judge James wasn't there, was 22 that the interpretation of this document? 23 A. That was part of my interpretation. Like I said, I 24 had two concerns, one was misreporting, the other was 25 just an excessive amount of time off the bench,</p>

Pages 41 to 44

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p> <p>1 whether it was reported or not.</p> <p>2 Q. And you said that this had been an existing concern;</p> <p>3 is that right?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And when you say existing, you mean prior to Judge</p> <p>6 James being placed on administrative leave in April</p> <p>7 2011?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Had the SCAO taken any steps to investigate</p> <p>10 that at any time prior to April 2011?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. Had you expressed that particular concern to anyone</p> <p>13 prior to April 2011?</p> <p>14 A. To anyone? Probably internally in my office, but not</p> <p>15 in any official manner, no.</p> <p>16 Q. And then that would mean not to the justices; is that</p> <p>17 correct?</p> <p>18 A. Correct.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. Was this part of the concerns raised in April</p> <p>20 2011 in connection with the administrative docket</p> <p>21 hearing, was attendance an issue raised?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Let me just ask you one more question on these,</p> <p>24 and if you could look sort of -- I want to compare two</p> <p>25 of these. If you look at the document we were just</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p> <p>1 Q. Do you know when you got this document?</p> <p>2 A. It would have been after she was put on administrative</p> <p>3 leave.</p> <p>4 Q. So this was some new bit of information after she was</p> <p>5 placed on administrative leave, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Correct.</p> <p>7 Q. Could we look now, could I ask you to turn now to Tab</p> <p>8 J?</p> <p>9 A. Okay. All right.</p> <p>10 Q. And that's a document, the title at the top is Budget</p> <p>11 Report for City of Inkster. Do you see that?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And a little bit below that it says</p> <p>14 calculations as of 4-30-2011. Do you see that?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. And I guess in the upper right it looks like perhaps</p> <p>17 that's a printed date, and it gives the date of April</p> <p>18 19, 2011. Do you see that?</p> <p>19 A. Upper left? Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Maybe it's hard to see. I'm sorry. It's stapled. Do</p> <p>21 you want to look at mine?</p> <p>22 A. No, I have got it.</p> <p>23 Q. So this would be a document that you received after</p> <p>24 Judge James was placed on administrative leave; would</p> <p>25 that be correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p> <p>1 looking at and the Bates stamp, we will call it as</p> <p>2 2327, and if you look also if you could at the next</p> <p>3 page, which is 2328 --</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. -- and you see that both of those say requests for</p> <p>6 assignment 2006, right, under the subject?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. But one appears to be dated, page 2328 is dated</p> <p>9 December 6, 2005, but 2327 is dated January 5, 2007.</p> <p>10 Do you see that?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. How did you figure out what year these were actually</p> <p>13 supposed to reflect?</p> <p>14 A. I don't know.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. If we could look next at Tab I, and the first</p> <p>16 page sort of a title is the Cover Sheet, 2011</p> <p>17 Preliminary Internal Calendar. Do you see that?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you know what this document is?</p> <p>20 A. I believe it's an internal document that the court</p> <p>21 used to manage their docket on an annual basis.</p> <p>22 Q. Was this something that is provided to the SCAO?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. Do you recall where you got this document from?</p> <p>25 A. From the court. I don't know from exactly who.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 48</p> <p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you recall how you received this document?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you recall from whom you received this document?</p> <p>5 A. It would have come to me from the court. I don't know</p> <p>6 who exactly sent it to me.</p> <p>7 Q. You see at the top where it says User:</p> <p>8 PANDERSON --</p> <p>9 A. Correct.</p> <p>10 Q. -- the upper left second line?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. And you did know that Pam Anderson was a clerk at the</p> <p>13 22nd District Court at that time, correct?</p> <p>14 A. She was the court administrator.</p> <p>15 Q. Court administrator, I apologize.</p> <p>16 A. Right.</p> <p>17 Q. If we could flip now to Tab K, and that's a document</p> <p>18 entitled 2010 Case Age Summary Report, do you see</p> <p>19 that?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. And that looks to be for the calendar year 2010; is</p> <p>22 that right?</p> <p>23 A. Correct.</p> <p>24 Q. Was this an SCAO document?</p> <p>25 A. It is.</p>

Pages 45 to 48

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. So this would be a document that you would have 2 had access to prior to April of 2011, correct? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Okay. So that's not new? 5 A. No. 6 Q. If you could turn with me to Tab L, and it looks to be 7 a compilation of documents regarding the appointment 8 of a magistrate in the 22nd District Court -- 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. -- is that correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And would you agree with me that the first portion of 13 these documents, and that would be Bates numbers 2369 14 through 2376, appear to be from the December 2002 15 timeframe; is that correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And that would be material that the SCAO would have 18 had in 2002; is that correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. The next document, and I think it's one 21 document, appears to be a fax because you can see a 22 fax line at the top consecutively numbered, appears to 23 be a fax from Ms. Anderson to you, do you see that, 24 starting at page 2377 through page 2379? 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p> <p>1 magistrate that was at issue, correct? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. And it looks like in the lower right the date says May 4 11, 2011, do you see that? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. So fair to say this was something that was generated 7 after Judge James was placed on administrative leave? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And then the next five pages are amended 10 administrative order, 2002, dash -- there is some 11 handwriting. I guess it's hard for me to tell if 12 that's a 2, but I'm talking about pages 2381 to 2386, 13 do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you know if this is -- well, strike that. 16 This appears to be an administrative order 17 from the 22nd District Court, correct? 18 A. Correct. 19 Q. And those need to be approved by the SCAO; is that 20 correct? 21 A. Correct. 22 Q. Okay. To your knowledge was this something that the 23 SCAO had approved in around the 2002 timeframe? 24 A. I don't know. I know this predates me joining the SCAO. 25</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p> <p>1 Q. And the date on the first page is May 9, 2011? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And that actually matches up with the fax line at the 4 top, right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you recall receiving this? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. So this would have been something you received 9 in May 2011? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. Okay. And that would be after Judge James was placed 12 on administrative leave? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. The next page, Bates numbers 2380, at the top it looks 15 like Search Real Estate Index, Wayne County Land 16 Records Internet Search, do you see that? 17 A. I'm sorry? Oh, I'm sorry, 2380? 18 Q. Just for the purposes of the title. 19 A. Yes, yep. 20 Q. Do you know what this document is? 21 A. It is I think like a Google search of Wayne County land records to show property owners. 22 Q. And do you recall if you performed this search? 23 A. My secretary did. 24 Q. Okay. And this is on Jeffrey Bowdich, he is the</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p> <p>1 Q. Did you search the SCAO's records to locate this or 2 was this something that was provided to you, if you 3 recall? 4 A. I believe I pulled it up. 5 Q. Okay. So it was certainly something that you had 6 access to prior to April of 2011, correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. The next document that's part of Tab J, it's pages 9 2386 and 2387 -- 10 A. I think we are on Tab L. 11 Q. You are right, I'm sorry, Tab L. 12 A. So yeah. 13 Q. So it's pages 2386 and 2387. 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. It appears to be a memorandum dated November 4, 2002. 16 Do you see that? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And it's to John D. Ferry, do you see that? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Do you know who Mr. Ferry is? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Who is he? 23 A. Former state court administrator, probably left in '04. 24 Q. Was he a Region 1 administrator?</p>

Pages 49 to 52

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p> <p>1 A. State, the whole state of Michigan. 2 Q. State. Okay. 3 A. He was my boss. 4 Q. Okay. So fair to say this is a document the SCAO had 5 prior to April of 2011? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. The next document is a letter dated November 21, 2002 8 from Mr. Ferry to Judge James, the Bates number at the 9 bottom is 2388. Do you see that? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Was this a document that you pulled from the SCAO 12 file? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And fair to say that the SCAO had this document well 15 before April 2011? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. The last document in Tab L is Bates number 2389, do 18 you see that? 19 A. Uh-huh. 20 Q. That's another letter from Mr. Ferry to Judge James, 21 this one dated October 21st, 2002. Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. And was this also a document that you pulled from the 24 SCAO files? 25 A. Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 55</p> <p>1 until we got there. 2 Q. Well, let's -- 3 A. What else? 4 Q. Well, let's talk about, for example, the attendance. 5 You agreed with me that that had been a concern that 6 you had at least well before April 2011, correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Okay. But you hadn't done anything to investigate 9 that any further, correct? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Because you hadn't -- 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. -- gotten some of these documents that we looked at 14 related to attendance until later, right? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. 17 A. Sadly judicial attendance is not a singular judge problem, it's a bigger issue. 18 Q. Now, the other concern -- well, another concern that 20 was part of the grievance was the Community Service 21 Fund, correct? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. And in fact the SCAO had looked into the 24 Community Service fund in 2009, correct? 25 A. Looked in to it, I don't know what you are referring</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 54</p> <p>1 Q. And fair to say that this is also a document that the 2 SCAO had prior to April 2011? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. If we could look now at the last Tab, Tab M, and again 5 it looks to be something of a compilation of documents 6 with some checks and check activity reports, I think; 7 would that be a fair description? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And were you attaching these documents because you had 10 some concerns about certain checks being paid out for 11 legal services? 12 A. It was not about the checks -- well, yes. 13 Q. And do you recall where you got this set of documents 14 from? Or if it's different for any particular 15 document, you can certainly tell me that. 16 A. I believe we got these from the courts. I don't think I got any of these from Sharon McPhail directly, but I believe these came to us through the court. 17 Q. So it is fair to say that some of the issues that 18 ended up in the grievance the SCAO had known about 19 well in advance of the grievance, correct? 20 A. I don't know which ones you are referring to. If you are referring to the magistrate one, we had been told he moved into the district, so I mean, yeah, there had been concerns, but we thought it had been rectified</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 56</p> <p>1 to. 2 MR. HIRSCH: Can we mark this, please? 3 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION: 4 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 2 5 10:36 a.m. 6 BY MR. HIRSCH: 7 Q. Ma'am, our court reporter has just handed you what we 8 have marked as Exhibit 2 to your deposition. It looks 9 to be a memorandum dated October 21, 2009, to you from 10 Ms. McLemore. Do you have that in front of you? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Does this refresh your recollection that your office 13 had looked into the Community Service Fund in 2009? 14 A. We hadn't really looked into it at this stage. We had gotten an anonymous tip, and the anonymous tip had actually come into the audited section in Lansing, not directly to my office, and so we were aware of it, which is what caused me to look into the fact that it appeared in an outside audit, and so I felt -- yeah, 15 Darnell and Meyering. And so I confirmed that the 16 court had a copy of Darnell and Meyering's audit and 17 so did the city, and so therefore it was out in front 18 of them, so I didn't do anything further. So to say I 19 looked into it at this point, no, I didn't. 20 Q. Well, fair to say then that you didn't think there was</p>

Pages 53 to 56

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 57</p> <p>1 a need to look into it any further at that time, 2 correct? 3 A. Because I thought all the parties were already aware. 4 Q. I take it given that you called it an anonymous tip, 5 you never came to learn who left that tip; is that 6 correct? 7 A. Correct. 8 Q. And clearly no grievance was filed in 2009, correct? 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. So you certainly did not think it rose to that level, 11 correct? 12 A. Correct, not at that time. 13 Q. And we looked earlier at Exhibit 1, the grievance that 14 you submitted in June of 2011, right? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. Do you know if anyone else submitted any other 17 grievances with respect to Judge James in or around 18 that time period? 19 A. I do not know. 20 Q. Do you know who David Jones is? 21 A. Boy, the name rings a bell. 22 Q. Well, let me ask if this refreshes your memory. Do 23 you recall that David Jones was an attorney for the 24 City of Inkster? 25 A. Okay. I thought he was a treasurer, but, okay, an</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p> <p>1 Do you have that in front of you? 2 A. Yes, I do. 3 Q. Does this refresh your recollection as to who Mr. 4 Jones is? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. And this letter is in reference to a Freedom of 7 Information Request issued by Mr. Jones, correct? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you write back to Mr. Jones and you tell him 10 please be advised that the courts are not subject to 11 the Freedom of Information Act, correct? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. And that's accurate, right? 14 A. Correct. 15 Q. Do you recall what information Mr. Jones was seeking? 16 A. There were concerns about the fact that the city was 17 attempting to order the court -- telling the court 18 that they couldn't shift money amongst line items in 19 their budget, and therefore the city was refusing to 20 pay some of the court's bills. So the court was, I 21 don't know where they were in the process in November, 22 but had taken over paying some of their own bills or 23 were in the process, and the -- he was claiming that 24 the court was violating 98-5, but really 98-5 says the 25 court can shift money amongst line items. So anyway,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 58</p> <p>1 attorney, I will take it. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. I recognize the name, and he had something to do with 4 the city. 5 Q. Okay. Do you recall then if you had any conversations 6 with Mr. Jones about this issue? 7 MS. MILLER: When you say this issue, do 8 you mean the audit or the Judge James in general? 9 BY MR. HIRSCH: 10 Q. I'm sorry, Judge James in general, do you recall if 11 you had any conversations with Mr. Jones? 12 A. I believe I may have once, but I honestly don't 13 remember any details or anything about it or if I even 14 really did talk to him, but the name rings a bell, so 15 it must ring a bell for a reason. 16 Q. Okay. Let me see if I can help you a little bit more. 17 MR. HIRSCH: Can we mark this, please? 18 A. It was a long time ago. 19 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION: 20 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 3 21 10:39 a.m. 22 BY MR. HIRSCH: 23 Q. Our court reporter has just handed you what we have 24 marked Exhibit 3 to your deposition. It appears to be 25 a November 16, 2010 letter from you to David Jones.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 60</p> <p>1 it had to do with that whole business of shifting line 2 item money from line items in the budget and the court 3 then taking it upon themselves to start paying its own 4 bills. 5 Q. And that's the reference in the next sentence to 6 administrative order, 1998-5, right? 7 A. Correct. 8 Q. That discusses how a court can handle its budget, 9 right? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Among other things, right -- 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. -- but that's one thing, right? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Now, you also say in here that you plan to meet with 16 the chief judge and court administrator in the near 17 future to discuss the issues you have raised, do you 18 see that? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And that would be referring to Judge James, right? 21 A. Judge James and -- yes, the chief judge is referencing 22 Judge James, yes. 23 Q. She is copied at the bottom, right? 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. And I guess whoever the court administrator was in</p>

Pages 57 to 60

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p> <p>1 2010?</p> <p>2 A. Carl Gromek.</p> <p>3 Q. Did you in fact have that meeting?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you recall when you had that meeting?</p> <p>6 A. Well, it was later in that year, I'm pretty sure it 7 was still in fiscal year or calendar year 2010. I 8 don't remember exactly. It might have been early 9 it was still that winter. It might have been early 10 the next year.</p> <p>11 Q. And you think Mr. Gromek was the court administrator 12 at that time, not Ms. Anderson?</p> <p>13 A. No, no, no, Carl Gromek --</p> <p>14 Q. I'm sorry.</p> <p>15 A. -- was the state court administrator.</p> <p>16 Q. I'm sorry, he was the state court administrator, I 17 apologize. But when you say in here you are going to 18 meet with the court administrator, were you referring 19 to Mr. Gromek or were you referring to the 20 administrator at the 22nd District Court?</p> <p>21 A. The administrator at the 22nd District Court.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Now, when you did have that meeting, Judge 23 James was there; is that correct?</p> <p>24 A. Correct.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Was anybody else there?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p> <p>1 bills, but you don't just get to keep all the money. 2 The courts of pass-throughs of money, so I believe I 3 informed her at that meeting that you are in essence 4 violating 98-5 because you're not forwarding the rest 5 of it.</p> <p>6 Q. And I presume then that you told them to correct that 7 to comply with 1998-5; is that right?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you know whether they did that?</p> <p>10 A. They did months and months and months later.</p> <p>11 Q. You certainly did not think that this violation rose 12 to the level of filing a grievance, right?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. And you did not in fact file a grievance --</p> <p>15 A. Correct.</p> <p>16 Q. -- on this issue, right?</p> <p>17 A. Right. It takes a lot to get me to file a grievance.</p> <p>18 MR. HIRSCH: Can we mark this, please?</p> <p>19 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:</p> <p>20 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 4</p> <p>21 10:45 a.m.</p> <p>22 BY MR. HIRSCH:</p> <p>23 Q. Ma'am, the court reporter has just handed you what we 24 have marked as Exhibit Number 4 to your deposition. 25 It appears to be a memorandum from you to Mr.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 62</p> <p>1 A. I believe Pam Anderson was there.</p> <p>2 Q. And she was the 22nd District Court administrator, 3 right?</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. And you were there, correct?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Was anybody else there from the SCAO?</p> <p>8 A. I don't recall. The only other person that might have 9 been there would have been Charlene McLemore. I don't 10 recall if she was actually at that meeting.</p> <p>11 Q. Fair to say that you did not determine that there had 12 been any violation of administrator order 1998-5?</p> <p>13 A. No, that's not fair to say.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay.</p> <p>15 A. I believe at the time of that meeting had some concern 16 about the fact that the court while it had taken on 17 paying its own bills, once you pay those bills you are 18 supposed to forward the rest of the money over to the 19 funding unit, which with a this case was the City of 20 Inkster, and the court hadn't done that. They were 21 holding on to all the money, and so I believe at that 22 meeting was my first talk to her about, here, this is 23 how -- you know, there is a right way and a wrong way 24 to have a court pay its own bills. It's fairly 25 unusual but not unheard of for a court to pay its own</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 64</p> <p>1 Gromek --</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. -- dated 12-1-2010, correct?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. This is a memo you prepared?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. And you copied Judge James on this memo, right?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. You copied Ms. Anderson?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And Ron Stadnika?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. Who is Mr. Stadnika?</p> <p>14 A. He at the time was the head of the State Court 15 Administrative Offices auditing division.</p> <p>16 Q. And this is a memo memorializing your -- the way you 17 addressed the issue about the court's handling of 18 funds, right, the issue we were just discussing from 19 the David Jones letter?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And you determined that no further mediation 22 was necessary, correct, that's under your conclusion 23 on page 3?</p> <p>24 A. Do I say that? Okay.</p> <p>25 Q. First sentence under conclusion, right, you agree with</p>

Pages 61 to 64

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p> <p>1 that?</p> <p>2 A. Right, because there was a council meeting coming up.</p> <p>3 We thought that was going to resolve everything.</p> <p>4 Q. Right. You indicated that you had some hope that the</p> <p>5 City of Inkster would pass a resolution allowing the</p> <p>6 court to move money between line items, right, without</p> <p>7 city prior approval, right?</p> <p>8 A. Correct.</p> <p>9 Q. By the way, do you know if that ever happened?</p> <p>10 A. I don't. I don't remember.</p> <p>11 Q. And in fact you determined that at its core this was</p> <p>12 not really a dispute about funding of the court,</p> <p>13 right?</p> <p>14 A. Right.</p> <p>15 Q. And you also determined that the city's allegations</p> <p>16 that the court refused to communicate or meet with it</p> <p>17 was not true, right?</p> <p>18 A. Do I say that?</p> <p>19 Q. You do.</p> <p>20 A. Okay, then yeah.</p> <p>21 Q. I'm happy to refer you if you want to look at it.</p> <p>22 A. No, no, that's okay.</p> <p>23 Q. And take your time, as with any document, by the way.</p> <p>24 A. No, if I said it, I believe it.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Focussing again on the grievance you did file,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p> <p>1 meetings?</p> <p>2 A. I don't really recall. It's possible Paul Fischer</p> <p>3 was, but I don't really recall. Maggie was the lead</p> <p>4 attorney on it.</p> <p>5 Q. What was the nature of your discussion with Ms.</p> <p>6 Ryneir, Maggie?</p> <p>7 A. Answering her questions about, you know, the</p> <p>8 background and providing documents and that kind of</p> <p>9 thing.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you recall what questions she asked you about the</p> <p>11 background?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you provided</p> <p>14 beyond what is attached, if anything, beyond what's</p> <p>15 attached to your grievance?</p> <p>16 A. I can't imagine what else I would have had other than</p> <p>17 what's attached here.</p> <p>18 Q. And just so the record is clear, you said Mr. Fischer</p> <p>19 may or may not have been there, you're not sure,</p> <p>20 correct?</p> <p>21 A. I don't actually remember him being there. I remember</p> <p>22 meeting with Maggie, but whether he was in the same</p> <p>23 room at any time, it could have happened. I don't</p> <p>24 know.</p> <p>25 Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. Fischer about</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p> <p>1 Exhibit 1 in June 2011, did you speak to anyone at the</p> <p>2 JTC prior to filing your grievance in June 2011? And</p> <p>3 I'm referring of course about Judge James.</p> <p>4 A. I don't believe I did.</p> <p>5 Q. After you filed the grievance, did you have</p> <p>6 communications with anyone from the JTC?</p> <p>7 A. Typically they would contact our office and ask for</p> <p>8 comments, so I would respond to whatever they</p> <p>9 requested.</p> <p>10 Q. You recall that you gave some testimony at the formal</p> <p>11 hearing on Judge James' complaint, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. I'm sure not fondly, I can tell, right?</p> <p>14 A. It made me a TV star, though, so I got that going for</p> <p>15 me.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Your testimony was true and accurate, correct?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. You testified at that time that you had, you think you</p> <p>19 met with the examiner's office twice. Would that be</p> <p>20 accurate?</p> <p>21 A. That would sound right, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And do you recall who you met with in each of those</p> <p>23 meetings?</p> <p>24 A. I believe it was Maggie Ryneir.</p> <p>25 Q. Was anyone else present during either of those</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p> <p>1 Judge James' matter? And I guess to make the</p> <p>2 timeframe I guess more accurate, I'm talking about in</p> <p>3 or around, you know, June 2011 when the complaint was</p> <p>4 being considered.</p> <p>5 A. No. I believe I talked to him briefly just before the</p> <p>6 hearing just about, you know, here is what is going to</p> <p>7 happen, but no, at this time not at all.</p> <p>8 Q. So that would be before the formal hearing which took</p> <p>9 place in January of 2012 --</p> <p>10 A. Okay.</p> <p>11 Q. -- mid to late January? It went on for a number of</p> <p>12 days, right?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you know a gentleman named Cas Swastek?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Who is he?</p> <p>17 A. He's another attorney in the Tenure Commission's</p> <p>18 office.</p> <p>19 Q. Did you ever have any conversation was Mr. Swastek</p> <p>20 about Judge James' matter?</p> <p>21 A. Boy, it's possible. I hate to belittle Cas, but he</p> <p>22 was kind of a lower echelon guy, so he may have been</p> <p>23 the one who called and contacted and said I need a</p> <p>24 copy of her attendance reports for these years, so it</p> <p>25 would have been that kind of conversation, nothing in</p>

Pages 65 to 68

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 69</p> <p>1 depth.</p> <p>2 Q. And not to belittle him at all, but you are saying you 3 don't remember one way or the other whether you talked 4 to him about this matter?</p> <p>5 A. I don't remember, but it's certainly possible.</p> <p>6 Q. You do know him, and you may have talked to him about 7 other things, right?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, oh, of course.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Now, when you had these meetings with at least 10 Ms. Ryneir, did she call you and say I want to have a 11 meeting or did you call her to arrange a meeting?</p> <p>12 A. She would have called me.</p> <p>13 Q. Now, after Judge James was placed on administrative 14 leave and before you actually submitted the grievance 15 in June 2011, did you have any further conversations 16 with the chief justice about Judge James' matter?</p> <p>17 A. So between April and June? I don't believe so. If I 18 reported any progress, I would have reported it to my 19 state board administrator, I would not have reported 20 it straight to the chief, so I doubt it.</p> <p>21 Q. That would be Mr. Schmucker, correct, or did that 22 change?</p> <p>23 A. It was kind of a revolving door there for a while.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay.</p> <p>25 A. Chad was only there for a year. So yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 71</p> <p>1 correct?</p> <p>2 A. No, never.</p> <p>3 Q. You have filed other requests for investigation as to 4 other judges, correct?</p> <p>5 A. I have.</p> <p>6 Q. Are you familiar with Judge James Kandrevas?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Was he in your region?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Are you familiar that there was a civil case involving 11 Judge Kandrevas that questioned the way he handled 12 some funds?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you file a grievance against Judge Kandrevas?</p> <p>15 MS. MILLER: I'm going to place an 16 objection and instruct her not to answer with regard 17 to whether a grievance was filed unless it ultimately 18 resulted in a formal complaint, based upon the 19 agreement that we had reached during the discovery of 20 this case.</p> <p>21 MR. HIRSCH: Well, I don't think we reached 22 an agreement that I couldn't ask a potential grievant 23 whether that person filed a grievance. I mean that's 24 not privileged. The grievant is free to disclose what 25 they filed.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 70</p> <p>1 Q. Do you recall one way or another whether you had 2 conversations with your administrator between the time 3 Judge James had been placed on administrative leave 4 but before you had filed the grievance?</p> <p>5 A. Yes. I'm sure I would have.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. What were the nature of those discussions?</p> <p>7 A. Basically just an update, here is what is going on, 8 here is what we found, and I wouldn't normally file -- 9 I would never file a complaint with the JTC without 10 clearing it, running it by my state court 11 administrator first, so I would have kept him up to 12 date as to what my plans were going forward.</p> <p>13 Q. So in order to file the grievance, you had to get the 14 approval of whoever was the state court administrator 15 at that time; is that correct?</p> <p>16 A. Approval is kind of a strong word. I would certainly 17 run it by them and let them know.</p> <p>18 Q. So it would be fair to say that the state court 19 administrator agreed with your filing the grievance in 20 June of 2011?</p> <p>21 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And did you require the approval of the chief 23 justice to file the grievance?</p> <p>24 A. Not at all, no. We would never do that.</p> <p>25 Q. And I take it nor any of the other associate justices,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 72</p> <p>1 MS. MILLER: Actually if you look at the 2 court rules, all of the information prior to the 3 complaint, the grievant's name, whether the grievance 4 was filed, is confidential until there is a formal 5 complaint. That was the basis for our motion.</p> <p>6 MR. HIRSCH: So are you directing her not 7 to answer?</p> <p>8 MS. MILLER: I am.</p> <p>9 BY MR. HIRSCH:</p> <p>10 Q. Are you aware of whether there was any formal 11 complaint filed against Judge Kandrevas?</p> <p>12 A. I don't recall one, but I don't really remember. I 13 don't believe there was.</p> <p>14 Q. Judge Kandrevas was not placed on administrative 15 leave, correct?</p> <p>16 A. Not to my knowledge, no.</p> <p>17 Q. Well, he was in your region, right --</p> <p>18 A. Correct.</p> <p>19 Q. -- so you would probably know that?</p> <p>20 A. I would.</p> <p>21 Q. Judge Kandrevas is Caucasian, right?</p> <p>22 A. Correct.</p> <p>23 Q. Are you familiar with Judge James Byron Konschuh? I 24 may be pronouncing that incorrectly, but for our court 25 reporter, K-O-N-S-C-H-U-H?</p>

Pages 69 to 72

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p> <p>1 A. Not in my region. 2 Q. Are you familiar with him generally, though? 3 A. Not really. I vaguely recognize the name. 4 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Judge David Stowe? 5 A. Again, not in my region. I recognize the name. I think he's up north. 6 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Judge Mary Waterstone? 7 A. A long time ago judge in Detroit. 8 Q. She was a judge on the Wayne County Circuit Court if that refreshes your recollection. 9 A. Vaguely. 10 Q. Do you recall that she was in your region while you were the regional administrator? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Do you recall that there were allegations against Judge Waterstone alleging she suborned perjury? 13 A. I do recall that. I didn't file it. 14 Q. Judge Waterstone was Caucasian, correct? 15 A. I honestly don't know. 16 Q. And just so the record is clear -- because I know you're going to object -- but you did not file a grievance against Judge Waterstone? 17 MS. MILLER: I am going to object and instruct you not to answer that. 18 BY MR. HIRSCH:</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p> <p>1 Q. Also in the 48th District Court, correct? 2 A. Right. 3 Q. Do you recall that there was a civil case filed by a former clerk of the 48th District Court alleging improper termination and defamation? 4 A. I learned of that after the fact, but yes, I do -- I know about it just from what I read in the newspaper. 5 Q. Did this occur during the time you were regional administrator for the region that included the 48th District Court? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Judge Barron is Caucasian; is that correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Judge Small is Caucasian; is that correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And again, just so the record is clear, did you file a grievance against Judge Barron? 12 MS. MILLER: Same objection, don't answer. 13 BY MR. HIRSCH: 14 Q. Did you file a grievance against Judge Small? 15 MS. MILLER: Same objection. 16 MR. HIRSCH: I think we can take a short break maybe for the restroom, and we will start a somewhat new topic. 17 A. Okay.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 74</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Judge Mark Somers? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Was he a judge in your region? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Do you recall that there was a jury award rendered against Judge Somers? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. You recall it was substantial, right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Judge Somers is Causation, correct? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And again, did you file a grievance against Judge Somers? 12 MS. MILLER: Same objection, don't answer. 13 BY MR. HIRSCH: 14 Q. Are you familiar with Judge Mark Barron? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And Judge Barron is in your region, correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. 48th District Court, right? 19 A. Oakland County, yes. 20 Q. Are you familiar with Judge Kimberly Small? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. She is also in your region, correct? 23 A. Correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 76</p> <p>1 (Recess taken at 11:00 a.m.) 2 (Back on the record at 11:05 a.m.) 3 BY MR. HIRSCH: 4 Q. Judge Washington was appointed as the acting chief judge of the 22nd District Court, correct? 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. How was Judge Washington selected? 7 A. Well, I tried to put together a list of retired judges that were not so local that they would either know or have any feelings or bias about Judge James. I had worked with Judge Washington, he was with a retired judge, and he was working with the treasurer's office, the state treasurer's office, and I had dealt with him on a number of occasions in courts that had funding units that had emergency management, and so it was always this struggle when cities or counties -- well, it was all cities -- had emergency managers as to who really ran the court at that moment in time, so there was always this conflict, and so Judge -- Val Washington and I had worked together on more than one court and their funding unit disputes with emergency management, so I knew he had a good financial background. And so retired, didn't want to be so local that they would have prior thoughts about Sylvia James, and then Judge Washington, because of his</p>

Pages 73 to 76

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p> <p>1 financial background, was sort of our go-to guy. 2 Q. So was he the only judge that was suggested for this 3 position? 4 A. He was the only one that we approached because he was 5 our first choice, and he eventually did say yes. We 6 did have others on the list, and you are going to ask 7 me who, and I don't remember. 8 Q. So who made the decision that Judge Washington was the 9 first choice? 10 A. Well, ultimately me, he was my first choice, and then 11 I believe Chad and the chief justice agreed that he 12 was a good selection. 13 Q. Why would it matter if the potential judge had any 14 affiliation with Judge James? 15 A. There were a lot of judges in Wayne County that had a 16 very negative opinion of Judge James, and so we 17 didn't -- we wanted somebody to come in that had no 18 bias for her or against her. 19 Q. But why was whether they had a bias for or against her 20 important? 21 A. Because we wanted to get a clear picture and a fair 22 picture of what was going on in this court. We didn't 23 want to be colored or tainted by anybody's prior 24 opinion of her. 25 Q. Because you were doing some investigation as to what</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p> <p>1 so poor. Cases were so old that sometimes they would 2 come in and handle cases while Judge James was off 3 going to lunch or something like that. They felt that 4 they were being used. 5 Q. So none of those complaints, though, whenever you may 6 have received them, prompted any investigation by the 7 SCAO, correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 MR. HIRSCH: Can we mark this, please? 10 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION: 11 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 5 12 11:10 a.m. 13 BY MR. HIRSCH: 14 Q. Ms. Green, our court reporter has just handed you what 15 we have marked as Exhibit 5. It is a letter dated 16 April 15, 2011 from Mr. Schmucker to Judge Washington. 17 Do you have that in front of you? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. It looks like you were cc-ed on this letter on the 20 third page; is that correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Do you recall this letter? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Did you draft this letter? 25 A. No.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 78</p> <p>1 had occurred, correct? 2 A. Yeah. 3 Q. And you said that a lot of judges in Wayne County had 4 a poor opinion of Judge James; is that correct? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. How did you know that? 7 A. They told me. 8 Q. When did you have occasion to discuss opinions of 9 Judge James with judges in Wayne County? 10 A. Meetings, conferences, you name it. 11 Q. And it just came up? 12 A. Sometimes. 13 Q. And this was before she was placed on administrative 14 leave; is that correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Because you knew this when you were considering 17 selecting a judge to become acting chief judge? 18 A. Yes. I had difficulty getting judges that would go in 19 there as a visiting judge because they had had prior 20 contact with Judge James and didn't want anything to 21 do with it. 22 Q. So what sorts of complaints were raised about Judge 23 James? 24 A. That she ran a terrible caseload, that judges didn't 25 want to touch the cases because the documentation was</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 80</p> <p>1 Q. Do you know who did? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Do you see starting on page 2 and going over to page 3 4 there is a listing of seven points that says we would 5 like you to investigate and resolve, do you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Do you know who prepared that list of seven points? 8 A. Well, it's probably based on some of my information, 9 but I'm assuming whoever wrote this letter, Chad or I 10 don't know. 11 Q. And you would agree with me that this letter is asking 12 Judge Washington to conduct some investigation, right? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And some of the items are requesting Judge Washington 15 to locate documents, correct, for example, item 2? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And also item 4 is asking Judge Washington to attempt 18 to locate records about a National Drug Court 19 Conference, do you see that? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And that was an issue in fact that you had raised in 22 your June 2011 grievance, correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Now, at this point in April 2015, you indicated you 25 had not spoken to anyone at the JTC, correct?</p>

Pages 77 to 80

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p> <p>1 A. Correct. 2 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Schmucker had spoken to anyone 3 at the JTC? 4 A. I would not know that. 5 Q. So as far as far as you know this investigation was 6 being conducted for the SCAO, correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Do you know whether Judge Washington found the 1099s 9 referenced in item number 2? 10 A. I don't know for specific, no. 11 Q. So you don't recall whether those were provided to the 12 SCAO? 13 A. I know we have some 1099s. I don't know like this 14 says, you know, missing -- I don't know if these are 15 exactly what Chad was asking for. 16 Q. Okay. Item number 4, talking about records related to 17 National Drug Conference in Boston in 2010, do you see 18 that? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Do you know if Judge Washington provided you with any 21 records -- provided the SCAO with any records related 22 to that? 23 A. I have seen some of the check requests stuff, so I 24 believe that was in response to that. 25 Q. Judge James of course had an office at the 22nd</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 83</p> <p>1 James' counsel after she was placed on leave? 2 A. I believe it was in a meeting -- it wasn't just with 3 Sharon McPhail, I believe it was at a meeting with her 4 and the judge and Chad and me. 5 Q. And do you recall that you and Mr. Schmucker agreed 6 that you would not invade Judge James' safe without 7 her being there? 8 A. I remember talking about her personal privacy. She 9 was worried about her desk and her credenza, and she 10 may have mentioned a safe, I don't remember a safe, 11 but it was her stuff. 12 Q. Do you recall this question and answer during your 13 January 24, 2012 testimony at the JTC proceeding, the 14 question: At that time that you and Mr. Schmucker 15 indicated to me -- and that's Sharon McPhail I believe 16 asking these questions -- that you would not invade 17 the judge's personal safe without her being there? 18 Answer: Correct. 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. That would be accurate, correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And did you at any time enter Judge James' office 23 after she was placed on leave? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. And you did that with Judge Washington, correct?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 82</p> <p>1 District Court, correct? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you know whether that office was locked when Judge 4 James was placed on administrative leave? 5 A. That day, I don't remember. 6 Q. Do you know who had keys to her office? 7 A. No. 8 Q. So I take it you don't know how Judge Washington got 9 keys to her office, correct? 10 A. I don't remember. 11 Q. Okay. You have been to the 22nd District Court, 12 correct? 13 A. Many times. 14 Q. You have seen that office, correct? 15 A. A couple of times. 16 Q. There was a safe located in Judge James' office; is 17 that correct? 18 A. Well, I know that now, but I didn't know that then. 19 Q. So you didn't personally observe the safe at any time? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Okay. So you don't know whether the safe was locked 22 at the time Judge James was placed on leave? 23 A. No. 24 Q. Do you recall that you had a discussion about 25 accessing Judge James' office and safe with Judge</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 84</p> <p>1 A. Correct. 2 Q. Was that on April 14th, 2011? 3 A. I can't say that's the only time I have ever been in 4 her office. 5 Q. Fair to say that would be the first time after she was 6 placed on leave that you were in her office? 7 A. Well, I was there when -- on day one -- is that the 8 day she was placed on leave? 9 Q. Well, I think we have determined it was either the 10 13th or it could have been the 14th, but yes. 11 A. Then yes, sorry. Yes, that's the date. 12 Q. Okay. So on that date, whether it was the 13th or the 13 14th, but that first time at Judge James' office, what 14 did you do? 15 A. I basically showed Judge Washington in, and I don't 16 know what happened after that, but I was basically 17 just sort of the escort. 18 Q. Okay. And you told me that you did not notice a safe 19 at that time or any time you were there, correct? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Okay. You did not have any trouble opening the door 22 to her office, I assume? 23 A. I don't remember any trouble. 24 Q. Okay. 25 A. I don't know how we got in, but we were in.</p>

Pages 81 to 84

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 85</p> <p>1 Q. You do recall that subsequently Judge James mentioned 2 that she thought someone had tampered with her safe, 3 correct? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Do you recall that you met with Judge James and some 6 of her attorneys, Mr. Thomas and Ms. McPhail on July 7 14, 2011? 8 A. Boy, I don't remember that. 9 Q. Let me see if I can refresh your recollection again 10 from your testimony on January 24th, 2012. Question: 11 You were present on July 14, 2011 when Mr. Thomas and 12 I -- and again I believe this is Ms. McPhail asking 13 the questions -- and Judge James were allowed the one 14 hour to come in and pick up whatever documents we 15 could get? Answer: Yes. Does refresh your 16 recollection? 17 A. Yes, yes. It wasn't a meeting. He were there at our 18 court for her to gather her personal belongings. 19 Q. And you recall she was allowed one hour to do that? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you remember why there was a one hour limit? 22 A. Again, concerns about tampering and basically just 23 felt if she needed to get personal belongings, that 24 was enough time. 25 Q. Do you know who set that limit?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 87</p> <p>1 just want to be clear. 2 A. April 14th is when we put her on admin leave, right? 3 Q. 13th or 14th, I think. 4 A. And then sometime after that Sharon McPhail, Sylvia 5 James, Chad Schmucker are in my office, and that's 6 where they were very concerned about her personal 7 belongings, and I made her assurances that that's not 8 what we were interested in. We would not mess with 9 her personal stuff, so I don't remember what date that 10 was. 11 Q. Okay. You did not have any meetings with the 12 employees of the 22nd District Court after Judge James 13 was placed on leave, correct? 14 A. No. 15 Q. You did, however, speak with Pamela Anderson, correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And again, Ms. Anderson was the court administrator, 18 right? 19 A. Correct. 20 Q. And she continued as court administrator when Judge 21 Washington became acting chief judge, correct? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. How many conversations did you have with Ms. Anderson? 24 A. I don't remember exactly. Not many. 25 Q. Do you recall the nature of the conversations with Ms.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 86</p> <p>1 A. No. 2 Q. Was it you? 3 A. It might have been. I don't know. I can't remember. 4 Q. You were physically there that day, right? 5 A. Yes, I was. 6 Q. So you could have observed if there was any tampering 7 or anything else going on, right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And again, you did not notice the safe on that day 10 either, right? 11 A. I never saw it. 12 Q. You did tell Judge Washington that no one was supposed 13 to go into Judge James' personal safe, correct? 14 A. I don't know that I said personal safe. He and I 15 discussed the fact that her personal belongings were 16 going to be left alone, and he and I agreed we 17 didn't -- that wasn't why we were there. We didn't 18 care. 19 Q. Do you recall when you told Judge Washington that? 20 A. Whatever date that meeting was with Sharon McPhail and 21 Sylvia and Chad, it would have either been -- I don't 22 think it was later that day. I think it would have 23 been the next day. 24 Q. So that was the meeting that took place in April, 25 right? We are not talking about the July meeting. I</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 88</p> <p>1 Anderson? 2 A. Typically it was to ask for follow-up information. 3 Q. And that would be follow-up information in connection 4 with your preparation of the grievance between April 5 and June 2011; is that right? 6 A. Yes, or to assist Judge Washington in effectuating 7 some of the changes that he wanted to make. 8 Q. Well, Judge Washington was actually at the court, 9 right? 10 A. Correct. 11 Q. And he had authority to direct Ms. Anderson, right? 12 A. Right. 13 Q. Okay. Did you ever make any offer to Ms. Anderson 14 that if she cooperated in the investigation of Judge 15 James, she would be given immunity? 16 A. No. I would have no authority to do that. 17 Q. Did you ever indicate to Ms. Anderson that if she 18 cooperated, you would not pursue a bar complaint 19 against her? 20 A. I know she was worried about what had happened at the 21 court and her involvement and all of that and was very 22 concerned about her bar card. Would I have told her I 23 wouldn't file an AGC complaint? I may have, but I 24 don't remember that. 25 Q. You didn't file a bar complaint against Ms. Anderson,</p>

Pages 85 to 88

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

1 correct?
2 **A. Correct.**
3 Q. Were you present for Ms. Anderson's testimony at the
4 JTC hearing?
5 **A. No.**
6 Q. Did you ever review a transcript of Ms. Anderson's
7 testimony from the JTC hearing?
8 **A. No.**
9 Q. What did you do to prepare for this deposition?
10 **A. She sent me my testimony from the JTC trial. I read**
11 **it.**
12 Q. So you did review your own transcript testimony from
13 the JTC hearing?
14 **A. I did.**
15 Q. Other than conversations you may have had with
16 counsel, have you had any other conversations about
17 this matter that we didn't discuss today?
18 **A. No.**
19 MR. HIRSCH: Okay. If I could take one
20 moment to look through my list, but I think I'm
21 probably done.
22 (Off the record at 11:26 a.m.)
23 (Back on the record at 11:27 a.m.)
24 MR. HIRSCH: Thank you, Ms. Green, I have
25 nothing further.

Page 89

Page 91

1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY
2 STATE OF MICHIGAN)
3) SS
4 COUNTY OF WAYNE)
5
6 I, SHARON CAMPBELL, certify that this
7 deposition was taken before me on the date
8 hereinbefore set forth; that the foregoing questions
9 and answers were recorded by me stenographically and
10 reduced to computer transcription; that this is a
11 true, full and correct transcript of my stenographic
12 notes so taken; and that I am not related to, nor of
13 counsel to, either party nor interested in the event
14 of this cause.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 SHARON CAMPBELL, CSR-3406
23 Notary Public,
24 Wayne County, Michigan
25 My Commission expires: June 9, 2019


Sharon Campbell

Page 90
1 MS. MILLER: I have no questions for you.
2 MR. RATLIFF: No questions.
3 MR. ASHER: No questions.
4 (The deposition was concluded at 11:27 a.m.
5 Signature of the witness was not requested by
6 counsel for the respective parties hereto.)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Pages 89 to 91

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 92

A	23:14 24:10,15 24:25 25:1,8 26:7,10,16,22 27:1,4,10,14 27:18 28:16 30:19 31:9,19 31:21 32:3,14 32:18 33:1,14 33:17,20 34:25 35:20 36:19 45:6,20 47:2,5 47:24 50:12 51:7,10,16 60:6 64:15 69:13 70:3 72:14 78:13 82:4	58:18 73:8 agree 17:22 36:4 49:12 64:25 80:11 agreed 27:19 55:5 70:19 77:11 83:5 86:16 agreement 71:19,22 ahead 8:7 al 1:10 allegations 65:15 73:15 alleging 73:16 75:4 Allen 9:22,25 12:3 allowed 85:13 85:19 allowing 65:5 amended 51:9 American 8:13 18:19 amount 44:17 44:25 Anderson 3:8 36:24 37:24 amount 44:17 44:25 Anderson's 89:3 89:6 annual 38:11 41:15,18 43:12 43:15 44:5,10 44:15 46:21 anonymous 56:15,15 57:4 answer 5:21,22 6:3,8,9 16:4	71:16 72:7 73:24 74:14 75:18 83:12,18 85:15 Answering 67:7 answers 5:18 91:9 antitrust 10:21 anybody 61:25 62:7 anybody's 77:23 anymore 9:19 anyway 59:25 apologize 29:19 42:18 48:15 61:17 apparently 44:6 appear 33:4,9 49:14 APPEARAN... 2:1 appeared 56:19 Appearing 2:10 2:18 3:8,16 appears 46:8 49:21,22 51:16 52:15 58:24 63:25 appoint 21:5 23:20 24:11 32:21 appointed 25:2 26:6,9,10 76:4 appointment 32:23 49:7 approached 77:4 approval 65:7 70:14,16,22 approved 51:19 51:23 approximately 9:14 April 19:3 21:18	22:6,10 24:4 25:14 31:11,22 32:18 33:10,16 45:6,10,13,19 47:17 49:2 52:6 53:5,15 54:2 55:6 69:17 79:16 80:24 84:2 86:24 87:2 88:4 aratliff@wnj.... 3:15 area 11:19 12:6 12:8 areas 30:5 arrange 69:11 ASHER 3:1 90:3 asked 40:4 67:10 asking 5:17 80:11,17 81:15 83:16 85:12 aspect 12:2 27:1 27:10 assemble 25:6 assignment 41:8 41:21,22,24 46:6 assignments 42:6,11,11,14 42:21 assist 42:1,6,8 42:10,13,14,15 42:21 43:18,20 44:20 88:6 associate 7:21 10:14,18 11:25 20:3,14 70:25 Association 8:14 associations 8:1 assume 12:20 25:25 84:22
----------	--	---	---	---

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 93

assuming 80:9	back 9:17 10:25	62:21 63:2	85:8	caseload 25:3
assurances 87:7	25:12 59:9	65:24 66:4,24	break 6:11,13	27:2,6,20
attached 4:12	76:2 89:23	68:5 69:17	75:23	78:24
29:9 67:14,15	back-up 29:9	72:13 77:11	BRETT 3:1	cases 10:22 23:4
67:17	background	81:24 83:2,3	briefly 68:5	26:11,20 27:11
attaching 36:9	67:8,11 76:23	83:15 85:12	bring 23:3,4,21	27:15 43:9
39:1,6,8 54:9	77:1	believed 23:25	24:22	78:25 79:1,2
attempt 80:17	backup 31:5	belittle 68:21	brought 24:18	Caucasian
attempting	banc 19:16,22	69:2	27:21,25 28:1	72:21 73:18
59:17	Bank 30:7	bell 57:21 58:14	budget 13:10,19	75:12,14
attendance	banking 10:22	58:15	47:10 59:19	Causation 74:10
44:13 45:21	bar 7:11 8:13,16	88:18,22,25	60:2,8	cause 91:14
55:4,14,17	Barron 74:16,18	Barron 74:16,18	bunch 29:12	caused 19:9
68:24	75:12,17	75:12,17	Burley 11:13,15	56:18
attended 6:19	bars 7:13	bars 7:13	business 10:20	cc-ed 79:19
7:3	Barton 11:13,16	based 71:18	13:8,18 27:17	center 40:11
attention 16:6	80:8	beyond 67:14,14	27:22,24 60:1	certain 29:3
16:11 18:6	basher@mike...	bias 76:10 77:18	businesses 11:18	54:10
attorney 2:13	3:7	77:19	12:8	certainly 13:3
9:22 12:3,9	basic 34:9	bigger 55:18	Byron 72:23	18:8 24:1,23
57:23 58:1	basically 13:11	biggest 16:12	<hr/>	35:2 52:5
67:4 68:17	20:23 22:12	bills 59:20,22	C	54:15 57:10
attorneys 5:11	23:23 25:4	60:4 62:17,17	C 31:11	63:11 69:5
85:6	42:7 70:7	62:24 63:1	calculations	70:16
audit 25:11,25	84:15,16 85:22	Birmingham	47:14	CERTIFICA...
28:6,8,12	basis 46:21 72:5	2:7	calendar 46:17	91:1
56:19,21 58:8	Bates 40:11 46:1	birth 6:17	48:21 61:7	certification
audited 56:16	49:13 50:14	bit 9:16 47:4,13	call 29:2 30:11	8:10
auditing 64:15	53:8,17	58:16	46:1 69:10,11	certified 8:4,9
auditor 23:24	beginning 10:9	black 40:15	called 5:6 24:25	certify 91:6
24:21 25:22	behalf 2:10,18	board 69:19	26:14 34:12	Chad 32:10,15
August 1:18 5:2	3:8,16	Borman 1:8	57:4 68:23	32:24 69:25
authority 13:11	believe 7:20	born 9:15 10:17	69:12	77:11 80:9
13:13 23:19	19:3 20:13	10:24 11:3,4	Campbell 1:19	81:15 83:4
88:11,16	21:13,24 26:14	boss 53:3	91:6,22	86:21 87:5
Avenue 2:5	27:5 30:9 32:9	Boston 81:17	Canfield 7:20	change 15:7,17
award 74:5	32:13,14 33:8	bottom 53:9	9:13 10:6,19	15:23 16:2
aware 15:7	34:7,12 35:3	60:23	card 88:22	33:19 69:22
56:17 57:3	36:11,20 37:24	Boulevard 1:15	care 86:18	changed 15:5,21
72:10	40:3 46:20	Bowdich 50:25	Carl 61:2,13	changes 88:7
awhile 26:17	52:4 54:16,18	box 2:14 40:15	Cas 68:14,21	Charlene 25:11
	58:12 62:1,15	Boy 57:21 68:21	case 1:7 7:22	28:10 29:18
<hr/>			13:19 38:20	31:2 34:7
B			48:18 62:19	36:24 40:23
B 30:21			71:10,20 75:3	
BA 7:1				

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 94

62:9	33:13 40:7	25:7,15 66:11	considering	17:9 18:12,14
Charlene's 28:8	67:18 73:20	68:3 70:9	78:16	18:17,20 20:8
check 30:21	75:16 77:21	71:18 72:3,5	contact 66:7	21:8,22,23
34:10,10 35:22	87:1	72:11 88:18,23	78:20	24:7,13 25:20
36:3,8 37:3	clearing 70:10	88:25	contacted 68:23	25:21,23,24,25
54:6 81:23	clearly 57:8	complaints	contemporane...	26:8,17 27:8,9
checks 35:7 36:3	clerk 48:12 75:4	78:22 79:5	21:25	27:11 28:10,11
36:8 54:6,10	clerked 10:10	completed 6:8	CONTENTS	28:23,25 29:1
54:12	clients 12:7	comply 63:7	4:1	29:3,4,8,10,17
chief 13:11,12	close 22:2	computer 91:10	continue 24:14	29:20,21,23
19:25 20:7,17	coincidence	concern 22:8,17	24:17	30:8,17 31:6
20:21 21:5	15:20	22:18,20,21	continued 87:20	31:12,16,17
22:20 23:3,5	College 9:17	30:5 44:13	continuing	32:3 33:11,12
24:24 25:2	colored 77:23	45:2,12 55:5	25:25 28:12	34:20 35:5,16
26:9,12,14	come 21:5,23	55:19,19 62:15	control 14:18	35:17,21 36:1
27:4,7,14,25	25:2 37:1	concerned 23:8	conversation	38:4,10,24
28:2,9 31:15	42:25 43:8	30:10 44:3	32:6 68:19,25	39:19,20,24,25
32:12,13,22	48:5 56:16	87:6 88:22	conversations	41:1,3,9,10,20
33:25 38:17,19	77:17 79:2	concerns 19:9	58:5,11 67:25	41:21,24 43:17
38:19 60:16,21	85:14	19:11 23:10	69:15 70:2	43:18,19,24
69:16,20 70:22	comes 38:17	24:15,22 30:16	87:23,25 89:15	44:11 45:17,18
76:4 77:11	coming 27:1	36:12 44:24	89:16	47:5,6,25 48:9
78:17 87:21	42:7 65:2	45:19 54:10,25	conversely	48:13,23 49:2
child 9:15	Commencing	59:16 85:22	43:20	49:10,15,18
choice 10:21	1:17	concluded 90:4	cooperated	50:10 51:1,2
77:5,9,10	comments 66:8	conclusion	88:14,18	51:17,18,20,21
choose 19:9	Commission	64:22,25	copied 29:20	52:6 53:16
Cincinnati 7:21	17:23 91:25	conduct 80:12	60:23 64:7,9	54:21 55:6,9
circuit 7:21 16:7	commission's	conducted 81:6	copies 39:4	55:12,21,24
73:9	18:6 68:17	conference	copy 56:21	57:2,6,7,8,9,11
cities 76:16,17	communicate	31:21,23 32:3	68:24	57:12,15 59:7
city 9:22,22 12:3	29:5 65:16	80:19 81:17	core 65:11	59:11,12,14
12:8,9 37:4,9	communicatio...	conferences	correct 6:20,21	60:7,24 61:23
37:11 47:11	66:6	78:10	7:2,3,4,5,6,9	61:24 62:4,5
56:22 57:24	Community	confidential	7:10 8:11,12	63:6,13,15
58:4 59:16,19	30:7,10,16	72:4	8:17,20,23,24	64:2,3,12,22
62:19 65:5,7	34:3 35:7	confirmed 56:20	8:25 9:1,5,6	65:8 66:11,16
city's 65:15	55:20,24 56:13	conflict 76:19	10:7,25 11:8	67:20 69:21
civil 5:14 71:10	compare 45:24	confused 43:12	11:11 12:1,3,4	70:15 71:1,4
75:3	comparing 44:4	connection	12:11,12,17,18	72:15,18,22
claiming 59:23	compilation	45:20 88:3	12:21,25 13:1	73:18 74:10,11
Clair 14:13	49:7 54:5	consecutively	13:22,23,25	74:18,19,24,25
clarify 5:25	compile 28:19	49:22	14:1,3 15:3,6	75:1,12,13,14
clear 24:6 26:5	complaint 24:3	considered 68:4	16:12,19 17:8	75:15 76:5,6

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 95

78:1,4,14 79:7	34:3 35:7	91:22	21:12 23:10,13	directing 72:6
79:8,20 80:15	36:23 37:1,9	cursory 33:8	24:9 77:8	direction 23:21
80:22,25 81:1	37:21,22 38:15	D	defamation 75:5	27:23
81:6 82:1,9,12	38:17,18,21	D 1:8 33:9 52:18	Defendant 2:18	directive 28:5
82:14,17 83:18	39:15,16 40:5	daily 25:16	3:8,16	directives 28:5
83:20,25 84:1	40:21,24 41:2	Darnell 56:20	Defendants 1:11	directly 32:8
84:19,20 85:3	41:14,20 42:8	56:21	definitely 40:8	54:17 56:17
86:13 87:13,15	43:6,7 44:6	dash 51:10	defunct 10:21	disbursement
87:19,21 88:10	46:20,25 48:5	date 6:17 17:18	6:24 7:7	34:4,13
89:1,2 91:11	48:13,14,15	17:18 18:8	10:8	discipline 7:24
council 65:2	49:8 51:17	19:4 24:4 35:3	delegate 13:15	disclose 71:24
counsel 83:1	52:23 54:18	35:15 43:15	delivered 33:15	discovered 29:3
89:16 90:6	56:7,21 58:23	47:17,17 50:1	deposition 1:14	discovery 71:19
91:13	59:17,17,20,24	51:3 70:12	4:14,15,16,17	discrepancies
counties 14:7,22	59:25 60:2,8	84:11,12 86:20	4:18 5:13	40:8
14:23 76:16	60:16,25 61:11	87:9 91:7	16:23 17:2	discrepancy
county 15:8,15	61:15,16,18,20	dated 17:10,14	56:4,8 58:20	39:15
15:19 16:3,6	61:21 62:2,16	33:15 46:8,8,9	58:24 63:20,24	discretion 29:7
16:10,12,12	62:20,24,25	52:15 53:7,21	79:11 89:9	discuss 60:17
26:11 50:15,21	63:23 64:14	56:9 64:3	90:4 91:7	78:8 89:17
73:9 74:21	65:6,12,16	79:15	depth 69:1	discussed 86:15
77:15 78:3,9	70:10,14,18	dates 17:16 41:4	description 54:7	discusses 60:8
91:4,24	72:2,24 73:9	43:11	desk 83:9	discussing 64:18
couple 28:5	74:20 75:1,4	daughter 11:4	details 58:13	discussion 19:21
82:15	75:10 76:5,18	David 57:20,23	determine 62:11	19:22 20:15,17
course 23:6 66:3	76:21 77:22	58:25 64:19	determined	20:20 67:5
69:8 81:25	79:14 80:18	73:4	64:21 65:11,15	82:24
courses 11:6	82:1,11 85:18	day 19:14 20:22	84:9	discussions
court 1:1 6:4 8:5	87:12,17,20	21:18,19,20,24	Detroit 1:16 5:1	19:25 20:3,6
8:9,25 9:4,24	88:8,21	21:24 41:23	14:19 73:8	20:10 32:2,16
9:25 10:1,2,4	court's 59:20	82:5 84:7,8	difference 17:16	32:20 70:6
12:10,11,16,17	64:17	86:4,9,22,23	differences 13:3	disease 9:8
12:25 13:2,4,4	courts 7:16 13:7	day-to-day 43:4	different 13:17	disparity 39:10
13:6,9,10,13	16:9 54:16	days 44:6,15,20	34:9 35:24	dispute 65:12
13:14,18,21	59:10 63:2	44:21 68:12	38:5 42:10	disputes 76:21
14:16,19,20	76:14	deal 16:1	54:14	disqualified
16:7,16 17:1	Cover 46:16	dealt 76:13	42:10	42:12
18:4,24 19:8	covering 15:19	Deborah 1:14	difficulty 78:18	district 1:1,2
19:12 20:24	Cox 3:2	4:4 5:5,13 6:16	direct 16:6	9:25 10:2
21:6 23:5,9,17	created 34:7	December 46:9	23:17 26:2	12:11,17 13:4
23:20,22 24:9	37:25	49:14	88:11	13:4,7 14:19
24:20 25:2	credenza 83:9	decision 15:22	directed 24:8	16:7 34:3 35:7
27:9,17,18	criminal 9:24	19:5,7,7 20:23	31:15	37:9 38:15
32:11,22,25	CSR-3406 1:19	20:24 21:2,7		41:2,19 48:13

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 96

49:8 51:17 54:24 61:20,21 62:2 74:20 75:1,4,10 76:5 82:1,11 87:12 division 1:3 10:20 64:15 divvied 14:24 docket 19:23 20:8,12,25 21:3,17 26:15 31:19 42:1,7,8 42:11,13,14,15 42:21,24 43:1 43:18,21 44:21 45:20 46:21 document 17:5 31:2 34:2,6,15 34:21 35:11,18 37:3 38:13,15 39:19,22 44:22 45:25 46:19,20 46:24 47:1,10 47:23 48:2,4 48:17,24 49:1 49:20,21 50:20 52:8 53:4,7,11 53:14,17,23 54:1,15 65:23 documentation 40:5 78:25 documents 36:18,21 39:14 49:7,13 54:5,9 54:13 55:13 67:8,13 80:15 85:14 doing 8:25 77:25 door 69:23 84:21 doubt 69:20 downriver 9:18 11:19 downtown 14:19	draft 79:24 drafted 17:19 Drive 3:3 Drug 80:18 81:17 duly 5:7 duties 13:6 26:10,16 E E 34:2 e-course 11:8 e-mail 29:4 earlier 35:2 57:13 early 61:8,9 EASTERN 1:2 eat 42:24 echelon 68:22 effectuating 88:6 either 36:23,24 66:25 76:9 84:9 86:10,21 91:13 emergency 76:15,17,21 employed 14:16 employee 41:19 employees 87:12 employment 9:11 10:24 en 19:15,22 ended 26:19 28:20 54:20 enter 83:22 entered 21:22 entire 6:2 14:15 entitled 34:2 35:6 37:18 48:18 escort 84:17 especially 43:5 essence 63:3 Estate 50:15	et 1:10 Evans 1:14 4:4 5:5 6:16 event 91:13 events 15:22 eventually 77:5 evidence 22:9,13 22:15,18 evolved 25:12 exact 13:16 exactly 15:14 37:20 38:22 46:25 48:6 61:8 81:15 87:24 EXAMINATI... 4:6 5:15 examined 5:9 examiner's 66:19 example 8:2,14 29:13 37:2 40:9,17 41:7 43:12,15,20 55:4 80:15 excessive 44:17 44:25 exhibit 4:11,14 4:15,16,17,18 16:23 17:2 29:13 39:14 56:4,8 57:13 58:20,24 63:20 63:24 66:1 79:11,15 exhibits 4:9,12 29:12 exist 9:18 existing 45:2,5 expenses 34:12 36:13 expires 91:25 Explain 42:18 expressed 22:18	22:20,21 30:15 45:12 F F 35:6 facilities 13:20 fact 55:23 56:18 59:16 61:3 62:16 63:14 65:11 75:6 80:21 86:15 facts 18:11 fair 16:14 24:16 30:2 51:6 53:4 53:14 54:1,7 54:19 56:25 62:11,13 70:18 77:21 84:5 fairly 62:24 fallow 43:7 Falzone 11:13 familiar 16:19 30:1 71:6,10 72:23 73:2,4,7 74:1,16,22 far 41:2 44:6 81:5,5 fax 49:21,22,23 50:3 Federal 5:14 7:16 feedback 28:17 feelings 76:10 feet 24:19 felt 14:20 16:5,7 16:10,14 18:5 22:14 25:17,18 32:21 56:19 79:3 85:23 Ferry 52:18,20 53:8,20 field 10:21 fifth 14:24 figure 40:2 46:12	file 18:1 25:15 25:15 53:12 63:14,17 65:25 70:8,9,13,23 71:14 73:17,21 74:12 75:16,20 88:23,25 filed 17:7 22:5 26:23 27:4 28:17 57:8 66:5 70:4 71:3 71:17,23,25 72:4,11 75:3 files 38:17 53:24 filings 63:12 66:2 70:19 finally 25:18 financial 23:6,8 76:22 77:1 findings 28:6 finish 6:2,9 firm 3:2 9:18 11:11 first 5:7 9:15 17:20 26:5 34:9 37:2,14 37:16,18 39:3 39:9,19,22 41:15 43:15,21 44:5 46:15 49:12 50:1 62:22 64:25 70:11 77:5,9 77:10 84:5,13 fiscal 61:7 Fischer 3:16 17:23 67:2,18 67:25 five 10:3 12:19 12:23 14:25 51:9 fix 28:3 fixing 28:3 Flint 16:8
---	--	--	---	---

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 97

flip 48:17	55:21,24 56:13	70:7,12 71:15	25:17	68:6,8 89:4,7
Floor 1:15	funding 62:19	73:21,23 77:6	guess 17:19	89:13
flow 13:19	65:12 76:14,21	77:22 79:3	29:14 37:15	Hearn 41:11
focus 24:5 27:17	funds 64:18	80:3 86:7,16	47:16 51:11	help 28:4 42:20
27:22 37:16	71:12	good 5:10 10:21	60:25 68:1,2	42:25 43:10
focussing 33:7	further 40:1	76:22 77:12	guy 68:22 77:1	58:16
65:25	55:9 56:23	goodness 6:23	<hr/>	helps 31:24
follow 28:12	57:1 64:21	14:11	H	Henry 9:16
follow-up 88:2,3	69:15 89:25	Google 50:21	H 37:12 39:22	10:25
followed 24:21	future 60:17	gotten 55:13	half 10:11	hereinbefore
40:7	<hr/>	56:15	HAMPTON	91:8
following 12:16	G	graduated 6:23	1:10	hereto 90:6
12:20 26:1	G 36:2	9:12 10:13	handed 17:1	HILLIARD
follows 5:9	gather 22:12	Grand 1:15 3:13	56:7 58:23	1:10
fondly 66:13	85:18	Green 1:14 2:19	63:23 79:14	hired 10:12
Ford 9:16 10:25	general 2:13	4:4 5:5,13,17	handle 23:4,5	Hirsch 2:3 4:7
foregoing 91:8	11:17 44:12	6:16 17:1	27:2,14,15,20	5:10,11,16
forget 26:24	58:8,10	79:14 89:24	60:8 79:2	14:14 16:21,25
forgot 9:18	generally 13:5,8	grievance 17:7	handled 27:10	56:2,6 58:9,17
formal 66:10	36:4 73:2	22:4 23:15	71:11	58:22 63:18,22
68:8 71:18	generated 51:6	25:6 26:23	handling 26:15	71:21 72:6,9
72:4,10	Genesee 14:8	28:17,20 29:9	26:16,25 64:17	73:25 74:15
format 38:5	16:8	29:14 36:10	hands 23:23	75:19,22 76:3
former 41:14	gentleman	54:20,21 55:20	handwriting	79:9,13 89:19
52:23 75:4	68:14	57:8,13 63:12	51:11	89:24
forth 91:8	Gerry 41:11	63:14,17 65:25	happen 15:10	history 6:25 7:1
forward 9:12	getting 78:18	66:2,5 67:15	22:23 68:7	9:11
23:12,15 62:18	give 9:11 27:23	69:14 70:4,13	happened 15:12	holder 37:8
70:12	28:17	70:19,23 71:14	65:9 67:23	holding 62:21
forwarding 63:4	given 16:7 57:4	71:17,23 72:3	84:16 88:20	home 9:9,10,15
found 23:24	88:15	73:22 74:12	happens 38:20	Hon 1:8
25:3 70:8 81:8	gives 47:17	75:17,20 80:22	happy 65:21	honestly 58:12
four 14:4,23	giving 19:20	88:4	hard 47:20	73:19
free 71:24	go 8:7 10:5	grievances	51:11	hope 11:20 65:4
Freedom 59:6	23:14 25:9,12	57:17	hate 68:21	hour 85:14,19
59:11	28:2,9 36:4,11	grievant 71:22	head 64:14	85:21
Friday 1:18 5:2	39:3 78:18	71:24	header 17:13	HR 13:19
front 17:3,10	86:13	grievant's 72:3	heading 42:1	human 13:9
19:13,15 32:25	go-to 77:1	Gromek 61:2,11	hearing 19:14	Huron 14:13
56:10,22 59:1	going 15:23	61:13,19 64:1	19:23 20:1,4,7	husband 9:8
79:17	23:25 25:16	ground 24:19	20:11,22,24	<hr/>
full 6:15 91:11	33:20 36:3	group 36:17,21	21:16 22:22,24	I
functions 13:19	42:24 43:6,9	grouped 34:11	31:19 32:14,18	IDENTIFICA...
fund 30:7,16	61:17 65:3	growing 25:14	33:1,14,17,21	16:22 56:3
	66:14 68:6		45:21 66:11	58:19 63:19

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 98

79:10	40:3,17,20	J 47:8 52:8	job 11:5 12:22	60:22 61:22
imagine 67:16	41:1,1 46:17	James 1:5 16:19	13:2 18:15	64:7 66:3,11
immediately	46:20	17:8 18:14,19	John 52:18	68:1,20 69:13
12:20	internally 44:7	18:22 19:6	joined 9:21 10:3	69:16 70:3
immunity 88:15	45:14	20:7 22:5	joining 51:24	71:6,11,14
implement	Internet 50:16	23:14 25:7	Jones 57:20,23	72:11,14,21,23
20:23	interpret 44:1	26:7 27:7	58:6,11,25	73:4,7,8,9,16
important 23:2	interpretation	28:16 29:17	59:4,7,9,15	73:18,22 74:1
77:20	44:22,23	30:18 31:9,12	64:19	74:3,6,10,12
improper 75:5	interpreted	31:14 32:17	JTC 2:18 22:16	74:16,18,22
include 14:6	43:11	33:10 34:25	66:2,6 70:9	75:12,14,17,20
included 75:9	interrupt 6:7	35:19 36:18	80:25 81:3	76:4,5,7,10,11
incorrectly	interrupted	38:2,24 41:5	83:13 89:4,7	76:12,19,25
72:24	16:18	41:19 44:21	89:10,13	77:2,8,13,14
Index 50:15	Intro 11:9	45:6 47:24	Judd 3:11	77:16 78:4,9
indicate 88:17	invade 83:6,16	50:11 51:7	judge 1:9 13:12	78:17,17,19,20
indicated 65:4	investigate	53:8,20 57:17	13:12 16:19	78:22 79:2,16
80:24 83:15	24:14,17,24	58:8,10 60:20	17:7 18:14,19	80:12,14,17
info 30:22	45:9 55:8 80:5	60:21,22 61:23	18:22 19:6	81:8,20,25
information	investigating	64:7 66:3	20:7 21:5 22:5	82:3,8,16,22
28:23,25 29:6	24:20,23 25:20	69:13 70:3	23:3,5,13,22	82:25,25 83:4
31:8 34:8	investigation	71:6 72:23	23:22 24:12	83:6,22,25
35:22,23,24	18:2 40:1 71:3	76:10,25 77:14	25:2,7 26:5,6,7	84:13,15 85:1
47:4 59:7,11	77:25 79:6	77:16 78:4,9	26:9,13,25	85:5,13 86:12
59:15 72:2	80:12 81:5	78:20,23 79:2	27:3,4,7,7,9,13	86:13,19 87:12
80:8 88:2,3	88:14	81:25 82:4,22	27:14 28:2,9	87:20,21 88:6
informed 63:3	involvement	85:1,5,13 87:5	28:16,23 29:2	88:8,14
infrequently	19:5,7 88:21	87:12 88:15	29:16 30:18	judge's 83:17
24:19	involving 71:10	James' 23:22	31:8,12,14	judges 16:13
initially 27:19	Ish 10:15	37:19 38:9	32:11,17,22	23:20 25:3
31:5	issue 33:6 51:1	66:11 68:1,20	33:10 34:25	26:10,18 27:1
Inkster 37:4,10	55:18 58:6,7	69:16 82:16,25	35:19 36:18,23	38:18 43:3
37:11 47:11	63:16 64:17,18	83:1,6,22	37:19 38:2,8	71:4 76:8
57:24 62:20	80:21	84:13 86:13	38:14,16,17,18	77:15 78:3,9
65:5	issued 45:21	January 46:9	38:21,23 41:4	78:18,24
instance 16:8	59:7	68:9,11 83:13	41:6,18,22,23	judicial 17:23
instruct 71:16	issues 16:8 18:4	85:10	41:24 42:7,9	55:17
73:24	54:19 60:17	Jason 2:3 5:11	42:12,16,19	July 85:6,11
intentionally	80:17 81:9,16	JD 7:9	43:5,6,8 44:21	86:25
28:1	item 60:2 80:15	JEANMARIE	45:5 47:24	June 9:3 12:22
interested 15:19	80:17 81:9,16	2:12	50:11 51:7	12:24 13:21
87:8 91:13	59:18,25 60:2	Jeffrey 50:25	53:8,20 55:17	15:21 17:10,14
interim 26:12	65:6 80:14	jhirsch@mor...	57:17 58:8,10	17:24 18:16
internal 39:14		2:9	60:16,20,21,21	19:2 22:5,14
		J		

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 99

25:14 28:20	32:8 34:6	56:16	30:1,4,9 31:1,6	89:20
57:14 66:1,2	35:11 36:7,15	Lapeer 14:12,13	31:12,18 33:10	looked 55:13,23
68:3 69:15,17	36:21 37:20,25	late 68:11	33:15,19,23,25	55:25 56:13,14
70:20 80:22	39:18 40:12	Laurel 3:3	53:7,20 58:25	56:24 57:13
88:5 91:25	41:13 42:3	law 3:2 7:3,7	59:6 64:19	looking 18:4
jury 74:5	46:14,19,25	9:12,16 10:8	79:15,19,22,24	40:12 41:7
justice 19:25	47:1 48:5,12	10:10,21 11:9	80:9,11	43:13 44:9,10
20:7,18,21	50:20 51:15,24	lead 24:3 67:3	letterhead 29:22	44:12 46:1
22:20 24:24	51:24 52:20	learn 57:5	level 18:5 57:10	looks 30:21 36:2
31:15 32:2,6,8	54:22 55:25	learned 75:6	63:12	37:7 38:1,8
32:12,13 33:25	57:16,19,20	leave 18:23 19:6	limit 85:21,25	47:16 48:21
69:16 70:23	59:21 62:23	19:10 21:4,19	line 48:10 49:22	49:6 50:14
77:11	63:9 65:9 67:7	21:21 22:6,7	50:3 59:18,25	51:3 54:5 56:8
justices 19:22	67:24 68:3,6	22:25 23:11,14	60:1,2 65:6	79:19
20:4,11,14	68:14 69:6	24:10,15,25	list 76:8 77:6	lot 11:18 12:8
22:21 45:16	70:17 72:19	25:1,8 26:7,23	80:7 89:20	24:2 63:17
70:25	73:19,20 75:7	28:16 30:19	listing 80:4	77:15 78:3
K	76:9 78:6 80:1	31:9 34:25	little 27:25 38:5	lower 34:16
K 48:17	80:7,10 81:2,4	35:20 36:19	40:13,15 43:11	35:14 51:3
K-O-N-S-C-H...	81:5,8,10,13	37:19 38:9,11	47:13 58:16	68:22
72:25	81:13,14,14,20	38:16 39:9	Livingston	lunch 79:3
Kandreas 71:6	82:3,6,8,18,18	41:5,15,18	14:10,11	Lynn 1:14 4:4
71:11,14 72:11	82:21 84:16,25	43:7,12,15,24	Livonia 3:5	5:5 6:16
72:14,21	85:25 86:3,14	44:5,10,15	LLP 3:11	Lyon 3:12
keep 43:8 63:1	88:20	45:6 47:3,5,24	local 76:9,24	M
kept 25:14,17	knowing 39:21	50:12 51:7	locate 52:1	M 54:4
70:11	knowledge 18:7	69:14 70:3	80:15,18	ma'am 6:17
keys 82:6,9	51:22 72:16	72:15 78:14	located 11:11	56:7 63:23
Kilpatrick	known 31:8	82:4,22 83:1	82:16	Macomb 14:8
42:22	54:20	83:23 84:6,8	locked 82:3,21	14:23
Kimberly 74:22	Konschuh 72:23	87:2,13	long 7:19,19,19	Maggie 66:24
kind 8:8 25:12	Kruze 9:21	leaving 15:12	7:22 25:4	67:3,6,22
28:7 67:8	11:21,23	led 24:15	58:18 73:8	magistrate 1:9
68:22,25 69:23	Kwame 42:22	left 9:15,23	longer 26:19	49:8 51:1
70:16	L	15:21,24,25	look 28:2,7,8	54:23
kinds 42:6	L 49:6 52:10,11	29:24 34:16	29:12 31:11	main 24:5
knew 18:14,19	53:17	35:14 47:19	34:2 35:14	maintain 8:19
22:10,11 30:14	Labadie 9:21	48:10 52:23	37:12 38:6	maintained 12:7
76:22 78:16	lack 11:17	57:5 86:16	40:9,13,16	makeup 15:5,17
know 6:8,12 8:3	land 23:21 50:15	legal 54:11	44:14 45:24,25	manage 25:3
8:8 16:2 17:16	50:22	let's 11:3 37:15	46:2,15 47:7	46:21
17:21 19:13,17	landscape 34:17	42:22 55:2,4	47:21 54:4	managed 13:8
22:4 24:18	35:15	letter 18:3,7	56:18 57:1	management
25:16 30:12	Lansing 2:15	22:11 29:16	65:21 72:1	13:19,19,20

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 100

23:5 76:15,22	meet 60:15 61:18 65:16	Microsoft 17:17 mid 6:12 68:11	named 68:14 National 80:18 81:17	number 16:13 34:9,10 38:1 40:11 53:8,17 63:24 68:11 76:14 81:9,16 numbered 14:3 49:22
managers 76:17	meeting 61:3,5	Mike 3:2	nature 20:20	numbers 40:15 44:3 49:13 50:14
manner 45:15	61:22 62:10,15	Miller 2:12 7:19 9:13 10:6,19 14:12 58:7	67:5 70:6 87:25	NW 3:12
March 29:16	62:22 63:3	71:15 72:1,8	near 60:16	O
30:2,11,15	65:2 67:22	73:23 74:14	necessarily	Oakland 14:8 14:22 74:21
31:1,5	69:11,11 83:2	75:18,21 90:1	41:25	oath 5:19
mark 16:21 56:2	83:3 85:17	millerj51@mi...	necessary 64:22	object 73:21,23
58:17 63:18	86:20,24,25	2:17	need 6:11 23:8	objection 71:16 74:14 75:18,21
74:1,16 79:9	meetings 66:23	mind 24:2	28:3 42:25	observe 82:19
marked 16:22	67:1 69:9	minds 24:2	43:8 51:19	observed 86:6
17:2 56:3,8	78:10 87:11	mine 47:21	57:1 68:23	obtained 10:8
58:19,24 63:19	member 7:11,13	Misco 11:13	needed 9:8 16:6	occasion 78:8
63:24 79:10,15	8:1,13,16	misreporting	16:10 18:5	occasionally
Mary 73:7	memo 64:5,7,16	44:24	27:5 31:22	42:21
matched 44:17	memoranda	missing 14:9	32:21,25 42:20	occasions 76:14
matches 50:3	40:4	43:5 81:14	85:23	occur 75:8
material 29:10	memorandum	moment 23:16	needs 28:3	occurred 78:1
49:17	40:17,20 52:15	76:18 89:20	negative 77:16	October 6:18
matter 5:12	56:9 63:25	Monday 34:19	never 57:5 70:9	53:21 56:9
38:20 68:1,20	memorandums	35:15	70:24 71:2	offer 88:13
69:4,16 77:13	39:11 40:4	money 59:18,25	86:11	office 9:5 10:4
89:17	memorialized	60:2 62:18,21	new 35:22,24	12:25 13:22
McLemore	21:7	63:1,2 65:6	47:4 49:4	14:17 45:14
25:22 28:10	memorializing	Monroe 14:8	75:24	56:12,17 66:7
29:18,19 34:7	64:16	months 63:10,10	newspaper 75:7	66:19 68:18
35:12 56:10	memory 7:22	63:10	nice 9:9	76:12,13 81:25
62:9	57:22	Morganroth 2:4	Norcross 3:11	82:3,6,9,14,16
McLemore's	memos 38:2	2:4	normally 20:13	82:25 83:22
25:11 30:4	mentioned 8:22	morning 5:10	70:8	84:4,6,13,22
31:2	10:23 11:10,20	motion 72:5	north 2:5 3:3	87:5
McPhail 54:17	12:2 28:22	move 23:12 65:6	73:6	Offices 64:15
83:3,15 85:6	83:10 85:1	moved 54:24	noted 43:24	official 45:15
85:12 86:20	mess 87:8	multi 38:18	notes 91:12	officially 10:12
87:4	met 18:16 66:19	mushroomed	notice 5:14	oh 6:23 8:18
mean 24:12	66:22 85:5	22:13	84:18 86:9	
28:15 33:14,15	Meyering 56:20	N	notified 31:20	
37:7,9 41:18	Meyering's	name 5:10 6:15	November	
42:5,15,19,20	56:21	9:19 11:10,21	52:15 53:7	
45:5,16 54:24	Michigan 1:2,16	57:21 58:3,14	58:25 59:21	
58:8 71:23	2:7,13,15 3:5	72:3 73:3,5		
meaning 41:1	3:13 5:1 7:11	78:10		
means 42:3,7	8:10,16 14:7			
meant 36:10	53:1 91:2,24			
mediation 64:21				

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 101

14:13 18:18 30:3 31:21 40:14 50:17 69:8 okay 5:22,25 6:5 6:9,10,13,14 9:13 13:8 14:15 15:16,22 16:2,14 17:22 18:19 19:1,5 20:20 21:20 26:12,21 28:19 28:25 29:15,19 31:4,8 32:5 36:7,14,17 37:6,12,13,17 38:1,20,23 39:6 40:19 42:18 43:20 44:1,3 45:9,19 45:23 46:15 47:9,13 49:1,4 49:20 50:8,11 50:25 51:22 52:5,14 53:2,4 55:8,16,23 57:25,25 58:2 58:5,16 59:6 61:22,25 62:14 64:21,24 65:20 65:22,25 66:16 67:13 68:10,16 69:9,24 70:6 70:22 73:4,7 74:1 75:25 81:16 82:11,21 83:19 84:12,18 84:21,24 87:11 88:13 89:19 old 2:5 79:1 once 6:5 58:12 62:17 ones 32:23 54:22 open 17:18	opening 84:21 operate 21:5 23:22 operations 13:9 13:18 opinion 77:16 77:24 78:4 opinions 33:19 78:8 opposed 42:11 options 23:1 order 21:7,10,20 21:21,23 24:17 24:23 51:10,16 59:17 60:6 62:12 70:13 ordered 24:24 organization 8:9 orientated 34:16 orientation 34:15 original 16:9 originator 15:4 outside 21:5 23:3,4,20,22 32:22 41:22 56:19 overloaded 14:21 owners 50:22 P P-A-G-N-U-C... 11:22 Paddock 9:13 page 4:3,11 17:10,21 29:24 36:4 37:16,18 39:9,19,22,22 40:11 41:7 43:13 44:9 46:3,8,16 49:24,24 50:1 50:14 64:23 79:20 80:3,3	pages 17:13 37:15 38:2,2 51:9,12 52:8 52:13 Pagnucco 9:21 11:21,22,23 paid 54:10 Pam 40:22 48:12 62:1 Pamela 36:23 37:24 87:15 PANDERSON 48:8 Park 3:3 9:22 10:1 12:3 Parkinson's 9:8 part 8:3 16:9 19:23 22:7 30:25 31:5 44:23 45:19 52:8 55:20 part-time 11:5 partially 39:12 particular 33:6 45:12 54:14 parties 57:3 90:6 party 91:13 pass 65:5 pass-throughs 63:2 passed 33:23,25 Paul 1:8 17:23 67:2 pay 59:20 62:17 62:24,25 Payee 35:8 paying 59:22 60:3 62:17 Penn 6:19 peons 32:10 people 16:4 people's 24:2 performed	50:23 period 6:22 11:12,23 57:18 periods 38:9 perjury 73:16 permit 6:9 person 18:16 19:15 62:8 71:23 personal 83:8,17 85:18,23 86:13 86:14,15 87:6 87:9 personally 32:13 82:19 physically 86:4 pick 85:14 picture 25:18 77:21,22 place 19:6 21:17 24:9 68:9 71:15 86:24 placed 18:23 22:6,7,25 23:14 25:7 26:7,22 30:18 31:9 34:25 35:19 36:18 45:6 47:5,24 50:11 51:7 69:13 70:3 72:14 78:13 82:4,22 83:1 83:23 84:6,8 87:13 plaintiff 1:6 2:10 5:12 plan 60:15 plans 70:12 please 5:21,25 6:2,8,15 16:21 56:2 58:17 59:10 63:18 79:9	plenty 22:10 PLLC 2:4 3:2 plus 44:17,20 PO 2:14 point 14:24 25:9 25:9,17 26:17 56:24 80:24 points 80:4,7 poor 78:4 79:1 Port 14:13 portion 49:12 position 12:16 26:12 77:3 possible 67:2 68:21 69:5 possibly 23:3 potential 71:22 77:13 practitioner 11:18 predates 51:24 preferably 6:11 prelaw 9:16 10:25 Preliminary 46:17 preparation 88:4 prepare 89:9 prepared 31:3 35:12 37:14,18 37:20 39:10,18 64:5 80:7 present 66:25 85:11 89:3 presentation 35:25 presented 19:15 34:8 presume 24:11 63:6 pretty 23:2 61:6 previous 25:13 28:6
---	--	---	---	--

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 102

primarily 10:22	purpose 36:9	56:14 58:14	85:9,16	16:9 38:3
printed 47:17	39:8	59:24 65:12	recommend	52:25 71:8
prior 18:14,16	purposes 50:18	67:2,3 72:12	23:6	72:17 73:1,5
19:19 20:1,2,4	pursuant 5:13	73:3 76:18	record 5:12 6:5	73:12 74:3,18
27:7 30:25	pursue 23:15	reason 9:7 22:12	6:9,15 19:17	74:24 75:9
31:23 32:14,17	88:18	35:3 58:15	19:18 26:5	regional 13:24
45:5,10,13	put 19:18 21:4	recall 11:10,12	67:18 73:20	23:19 73:13
49:2 52:6 53:5	21:19,21 23:11	11:15 12:14	75:16 76:2	75:8
54:2 65:7 66:2	24:25 25:1,5	18:22 19:1	89:22,23	regions 14:4,25
72:2 76:24	32:18 47:2	20:15 21:14,16	recorded 5:22	15:18,20
77:23 78:19	76:8 87:2	31:18 32:16	91:9	register 30:22
privacy 83:8	putting 19:10	33:13 34:21	records 39:4,16	34:10 35:22
private 12:7	Q	36:17 37:14,18	44:7 50:16,22	related 55:14
privileged 71:24	question 5:24	37:22 39:1	52:1 80:18	81:16,21 91:12
probably 34:24	6:3,4,12 8:3	40:19 46:24	81:16,21,21	remember 9:19
45:14 52:23	25:13 45:23	48:2,4 50:6,23	rectified 54:25	11:2 19:4,13
72:19 80:8	83:12,14 85:10	52:3 54:13	redated 17:20	20:19 40:6
89:21	questioned	57:23 58:5,10	reduced 91:10	42:23 58:13
problem 55:18	71:11	59:15 61:5	refer 40:16	61:8 65:10
Procedure 5:14	questions 5:17	62:8,10 66:10	65:21	67:21,21 69:3
proceeding	31:14 32:7	66:22 67:2,3	reference 59:6	69:5 72:12
83:13	67:10,13 70:1	67:10,13 70:1	60:5	77:7 82:5,10
process 59:21,23	72:12 73:12,15	72:12 73:12,15	referenced 81:9	83:8,10 84:23
professional	73:17 74:5,8	73:17 74:5,8	referencing	85:8,21 86:3
7:23 8:1,8	75:3 79:22	75:3 79:22	60:21	87:9,24 88:24
progress 69:18	81:11 82:24	81:11 82:24	referring 30:12	rendered 74:5
prompted 18:1	83:5,12 85:1,5	83:5,12 85:1,5	54:22,23 55:25	reopened 17:20
79:6	85:19 86:19	85:19 86:19	60:20 61:18,19	reorganizing
pronouncing	87:25	87:25	66:3	35:21
72:24	R	receive 31:22	reflect 41:4	report 22:16,16
property 50:22	raised 19:8,11	33:17	46:13	38:16,18,19
prosecution	30:4 45:19,21	received 28:22	refresh 32:5	47:11 48:18
9:24	60:17 78:22	34:21,24 35:19	56:12 59:3	reported 39:17
provide 31:20	80:21	38:23 40:19	85:9,15	44:5,14,16
38:14	ran 76:18 78:24	47:23 48:2,4	refreshes 57:22	45:1 69:18,18
provided 29:6	Rapids 3:13	50:8 79:6	73:10	69:19
46:22 52:2	RATLIFF 3:10	receiving 33:13	refused 65:16	reporter 6:4 8:5
67:13 81:11,20	90:2	50:6	refusing 59:19	8:9 17:1 56:7
81:21	reached 71:19	Recess 76:1	regard 71:16	58:23 63:23
providing 31:14	71:21	recognize 17:5	regarding 49:7	72:25 79:14
67:8	read 75:7 89:10	58:3 73:3,5	region 14:2,4,5	reporting 8:25
Public 91:23	Real 50:15	recollection	14:6,15,20,21	reports 38:11,23
pulled 52:4	really 12:5	32:5 56:12	14:24 15:2,5,8	39:23 44:15
53:11,23	13:12 15:18	59:3 73:10	15:15,23 16:3	54:6 68:24
	16:5 19:4			
	21:25 27:25			

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 103

represented 11:18 12:8	31:24 33:2 34:15,17 35:4	83:10,17 84:18 85:2 86:9,13 86:14	42:1 43:16,20 43:21 46:5,10 46:17 47:11,14	shifting 60:1 shoot 29:4 short 75:22 show 5:12 31:3 39:9,15 50:22
request 18:1 22:23 41:24 59:7	37:4,9 38:6 43:2,13,16 45:3 46:6 47:9	Saint 14:13 saw 86:11 saying 44:8 69:2 says 34:19 37:9	47:18,20 48:7 48:18 49:21,23 50:16 51:4,13 52:16,18 53:9	showed 84:15 shows 42:13 sick 42:9 side 23:6 27:14 27:17,18,22,24
requested 36:25 66:9 90:5	47:16 48:16,22 50:4 51:3	37:11 42:1 47:13 48:7	53:18,21 58:16 60:18 80:3,5	Signature 90:5 similar 34:11 38:2,6
requesting 80:14	52:11 55:14 57:14 59:13	51:3 59:24 80:4 81:14	80:19 81:17 85:9	simple 42:13 single 38:21 singular 55:17
requests 36:3,8 41:8,21,21 46:5 71:3 81:23	60:6,9,11,13 60:20,23 62:3 62:23 63:7,12 63:16,17 64:7	SCAO 30:11,14 30:15 38:3,23	seeking 59:15 seen 81:23 82:14	sit 23:20 site 40:23 sitting 42:15 43:7
require 70:22	64:18,25 65:2	39:3,17 40:25	selected 76:7 selecting 78:17	situation 23:9
required 38:12 38:14	65:4,6,7,13,14 65:17 66:13,21	45:9 46:22 48:24 49:17	selection 77:12 sends 38:19	six 9:23 10:3 12:19
requires 32:22	68:12 69:7	51:19,23,25	sense 34:23 sent 37:22,24	small 9:18 11:11 11:18 12:8
resolution 65:5	72:17,21 74:8	53:4,11,14,24	40:21 48:6	74:22 75:14,20
resolve 65:3 80:5	74:20 75:2 80:12 86:4,7	54:2,20 55:23 62:7 79:7 81:6	solely 27:3 solicit 28:25	solely 27:3
resources 13:9	86:10,25 87:2	81:12,21	sentence 16:17 Schmucker	solicit 28:25
respect 57:17	87:18 88:5,9	87:10	32:10,15,17,20 32:24 33:4,23	somebody 24:12 42:25 77:17
respective 90:6	88:11,12	88:10	32:24 33:4,23 69:21 79:16	Somers 74:1,6 74:10,13
respond 66:8	ring 58:15	81:2 83:5,14	separate 16:11 separated 15:8	somewhat 75:24
response 31:20 31:23 33:10 81:24	rings 57:21 58:14	87:5	series 5:17 18:4 36:3	son 10:17,24
responsibilities 13:17	risen 18:5	roadmap 28:7	school 7:3 9:12 10:11	soon 21:21 25:7
rest 42:25 62:18 63:4	rule 13:13	role 24:10	search 50:15,16 50:21,23 52:1	sorry 8:7 9:17 16:18 28:11
restroom 75:23	rules 5:14 42:10	Ron 64:11	second 10:11 11:3 24:14	37:15 47:20
resulted 32:7 71:18	72:2	room 67:23	secretary 50:24 section 36:12,16	50:17,17 52:11
retire 9:2,7	run 25:2 70:17	rose 57:10 63:11	41:15 56:16	58:10 61:14,16
retired 8:4,22 9:4 76:8,11,23	running 70:10	roughly 26:22	see 11:3 17:11 17:13,14 22:13	84:11
review 89:6,12	Ryneir 66:24	rule 13:13	28:2 30:5 34:4 34:14,16,19	sort 10:18 11:15 14:21,23 25:4
reviewed 30:25	67:6 69:10	rules 5:14 42:10	35:8,14 38:3	28:1,7 29:22
revolving 69:23		S	40:10 41:11,16	
right 11:9,21 12:21 21:25 24:12 28:13,20 29:6,22 30:16	Sadly 55:17	safe 82:16,19,21 82:25 83:6,10	Sheet 46:16 shift 59:18,25	

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 104

34:8,10,14,17	states 1:1 7:14	34:13 39:9,11	76:1 91:7,12	thing 17:17 22:1
40:13 41:15	stay 9:8	48:18	takes 63:17	22:13 23:7
45:24 46:16	stayed 9:15 15:1	summer 10:12	talk 32:10,12	24:14 43:4
77:1 84:17	15:4	27:5	55:4 58:14	60:13 67:9
sorts 78:22	stenographic	superintendent	62:22	things 13:16
sound 66:21	91:11	14:18	talked 32:13,24	22:15 23:25
sounds 24:6,7	stenographic...	support 36:10	33:1 68:5 69:3	24:8,21 28:8,9
southeast 14:7	91:9	supposed 46:13	69:6	29:3 32:9
SOUTHERN	steps 23:17 45:9	62:18 86:12	talking 6:5 7:22	34:11 60:11
1:3	Steven 1:9	supreme 14:20	40:10 51:12	69:7
speak 20:14	Stone 9:14	16:16 18:23	68:2 81:16	think 7:17 11:20
33:6 66:1	Stowe 73:4	19:8,11 23:17	83:8 86:25	11:21 12:2,10
87:15	straight 69:20	24:9 32:22,25	tamper 22:9,17	12:21 17:17
specialized 12:5	Street 3:12	sure 5:21 6:2,3	tampered 85:2	18:3 19:3,19
specific 81:10	strike 8:21	15:14 36:25	tampering	21:18 22:9,11
spent 10:23	20:16 26:4	61:6 66:13	23:10 85:22	22:25 24:2,20
spoke 32:8	30:14,24 35:10	67:19 70:5	86:6	27:3 28:22
spoken 80:25	38:13 39:2,6	Swastek 68:14	Tamsen 9:21	30:4,9,24
81:2	51:15	68:19	tangible 22:15	36:16 39:12
SS 91:3	strong 70:16	sworn 5:7,18	taught 9:16,16	40:5,10 49:20
Stadnika 64:11	struggle 76:16	Sylvia 1:5 16:19	10:25	50:21 52:10
64:13	stuff 17:18	76:24 86:21	tell 13:5 22:10	54:6,16 56:25
stage 56:14	25:10,11 81:23	87:4	28:3 51:11	57:10 61:11
stamp 46:1	subject 7:23	T	54:15 59:9	63:11 66:18
stapled 47:20	41:8 46:6	T 3:10	66:13 86:12	71:21 73:6
star 66:14	59:10	Tab 29:14 30:21	telling 59:17	75:22 84:9
start 60:3 75:23	submitted 17:22	31:11 33:9	tenure 17:23	86:22,22 87:3
started 7:19	39:11 40:25	34:2 35:6 36:2	18:6 24:3	89:20
9:13 10:6,20	57:14,16 69:14	37:12,12 39:22	68:17	third 16:6 79:20
12:22,24 13:21	suborned 73:16	46:15 47:7	termination	Thomas 85:6,11
14:6	subsequent	48:17 49:6	75:5	thought 26:19
starting 49:24	17:13	52:8,10,11	terms 13:2	32:1 54:25
80:3	subsequently	53:17 54:4,4	18:11 19:21	57:3,25 65:3
state 6:19 7:5,11	15:7 85:1	TABLE 4:1	43:10	85:2
7:13 8:10,16	substantial 74:8	tainted 77:23	terrible 78:24	thoughts 76:24
9:4 10:4 12:24	suggested 23:1,2	take 6:13 23:17	testified 5:9	three 9:14 10:14
13:21 14:5,16	77:2	26:6,9,11 27:6	66:18	10:16 14:22
14:25 32:11	suggestion	57:4 58:1	testify 5:7	16:4
52:23 53:1,1,2	44:20	65:23 70:25	testimony 66:10	time 5:24 6:7,11
61:15,16 64:14	Suite 2:6 3:4	75:22 82:8	66:16 83:13	6:22 7:19 9:7
69:19 70:10,14	summaries 38:8	89:19	85:10 89:3,7	9:12 10:23
70:18 76:13	39:13	taken 1:15 5:13	89:10,12	11:12,23 14:4
91:2	summary 34:4	21:24 45:9	thank 14:11	14:6,15,20,21
stated 18:3		59:22 62:16	89:24	15:1,18 18:11

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 105

18:14,22 22:2	9:10	understood 41:4	Warner 3:11	witness 4:3 5:6
22:10 23:13,16	travel 25:11	41:18	Washington	90:5
25:13,17 26:13	36:12	unheard 43:3	2:18 24:18,22	Woodhaven
26:17,21,21,22	treasurer 57:25	62:25	26:6,25 27:3	10:2
26:24 28:15,15	treasurer's	unit 62:19 76:21	27:13 28:23	Woodward 2:5
32:11 38:9	76:12,13	UNITED 1:1	29:2 36:23	word 11:17
44:18,25 45:10	Trenton 9:20	units 76:15	40:22 76:4,7	17:18 70:16
48:13 57:1,12	11:11	University 6:19	76:11,20,25	words 22:24
57:18 58:18	trial 42:22 89:10	7:5	77:8 79:16	30:4 37:8 44:8
61:12 62:15	tried 76:8	unusual 62:25	80:12,14,17	work 9:17 10:18
64:14 65:23	trouble 84:21,23	update 70:7	81:8,20 82:8	11:15 12:2
66:18 67:23	true 18:7 65:17	upper 29:24	83:25 84:15	25:25 32:9
68:7 70:2,15	66:16 91:11	47:16,19 48:10	86:12,19 87:21	worked 10:22
73:8 75:8	truth 5:7,8,8	User 48:7	88:6,8	12:6 76:11,20
76:18 82:19,22	try 5:25 13:5	usually 43:4,5	Washtenaw	working 15:19
83:14,22 84:3	40:1		14:8	76:12
84:5,13,19,19	trying 31:4		wasn't 24:3,4,20	worried 28:4
85:24	turn 29:13 30:21		24:22 27:16,20	83:9 88:20
timezone 11:2	33:9 34:14		vaguely 73:3,11	wouldn't 15:12
30:2 49:15	35:6 36:2 47:7		Val 24:18,21	42:19 70:8
51:23 68:2	49:6		40:21 76:19	88:23
timeframes 10:6	TV 66:14		various 38:9	write 59:9
times 82:13,15	twice 66:19		verbally 5:21	writing 19:12,19
timing 31:24	two 6:5 9:14		violating 59:24	19:20
tip 56:15,15	10:1,14,16		63:4	written 18:9
57:4,5	12:13 15:22		violation 62:12	31:18
title 46:16 47:10	24:7 44:24		63:11	wrong 62:23
50:18	45:24		visiting 26:18	wrote 80:9
today 89:17	types 34:11		27:1 78:19	
told 32:1 33:2	typically 32:9		vote 21:12,13	X
54:23 63:6	66:7 88:2		voted 21:14	
78:7 84:18			vs 1:7	Y
86:19 88:22				yeah 21:25
top 35:8 37:19	Uh-huh 53:19			39:13 40:7,14
47:10 48:7	ultimately 71:17		wait 44:15	43:17 52:12
49:22 50:4,14	77:10		want 15:15	54:24 56:19
topic 75:24	unanimous		25:14 27:19	65:20 78:2
total 44:10	21:15		45:24 47:21	year 8:4 9:3,20
touch 78:25	undergraduate		65:21 69:10	10:11 12:14
transcript 4:12	6:19 11:6		76:23 77:23	38:24 46:12
89:6,12 91:11	understand 5:18		78:20,25 87:1	48:21 61:6,7,7
transcription	5:24 6:4 15:14		wanted 15:17	61:10 69:25
91:10	41:2 44:9		22:12 27:16	years 9:14,23
transcripts 8:5	understanding		77:17,21 88:7	10:1,3,14,16
	44:19			12:13,14,19,21

DEBORAH LYNN EVANS GREEN
August 11, 2017

Page 106

12:23 16:5	14th 17:20,24	34:22 35:16	2:8	3:6
39:13 40:6	22:5 84:2,10	45:7,10,13,20	24th 9:25 12:11	79 4:18
68:24	84:13 87:2,3	46:16 47:18	13:3,15 85:10	7th 31:11 33:10
yep 50:19	15 79:16	49:2 50:1,9	2nd 35:2	
Young 24:25	16 4:14 58:25	51:4 52:6 53:5		8
32:2,7,8,14	17 6:18	53:15 54:2		86 10:17 11:3
	17430 3:3	55:6 57:14		87 11:5
Z	18 31:1	66:1,2 68:3		88 11:5
	18th 29:16 31:5	69:15 70:20		89 11:4
0	19 44:15 47:18	79:16 80:22		9
04 52:24	1957 6:18	84:2 85:7,11		9 50:1 91:25
05 44:16	1978 6:23	88:5		9:25 1:17 5:3
06 39:14 44:14	1984 7:8 10:9,14	2012 68:9 83:13		9:41 16:24
44:16	1990 11:14	85:10		91 11:24
	1998-5 60:6	2013 14:18 15:1		96 12:15
1	62:12 63:7	2015 80:24		96-ish 11:24
1 4:14 9:3 14:4,5		2016 9:3 15:21		97 12:15
14:6,20,21		2017 1:18 5:2		98 12:15,23
15:2,5 16:3,23	2 4:15 34:19,22	2019 91:25		98-5 59:24,24
17:2 29:24	35:16 51:12	21 53:7 56:9		63:4
38:3 39:22	56:4,8 80:3,15	21st 53:21		
52:25 57:13	81:9	22nd 34:3 35:7		
66:1	2-21 43:16,18	41:2,19 48:13		
1-3 43:21,24	2:12-cv-10273	49:8 51:17		
10:36 56:5	1:7	61:20,21 62:2		
10:39 58:21	20 44:20	76:5 81:25		
10:45 63:21	200 2:6	82:11 87:12		
1099s 81:8,13	2002 49:14,18	2327 40:16,18		
10th 1:15 17:14	51:10,23 52:15	41:8 43:13		5
17:19	53:7,21	46:2,9		5 4:7,18 46:9
11 1:18 5:2	2003 12:22,24	2328 46:3,8		79:11,15
33:16 51:4	13:21	2369 49:13		517.373.6434
11:00 76:1	2005 46:9	2376 49:14		2:16
11:05 76:2	2006 41:8 46:6	2377 49:24		56 4:15
11:10 79:12	2007 46:9	2379 49:24		58 4:16
11:26 89:22	2009 55:24 56:9	2380 50:14,17		
11:27 89:23 90:4	56:13 57:8	2381 51:12		6
111 3:12	2010 48:18,21	2386 51:12 52:9		6 46:9
12-1-2010 64:3	58:25 61:1,7	52:13		616.752.2539
120E 3:4	81:17	2387 52:9,13		3:14
13 16:5	2011 17:10	2388 53:9		63 4:17
13th 31:22 32:18	18:16 19:2	2389 53:17		6th 7:20
84:10,12 87:3	22:5,6 29:16	24 83:13		
14 17:10 19:2	30:2,11,15	248.864.4000		7
85:7,11	31:1,12 34:19			734.591.4002

JAMES v. HAMPTON, ET AL.

DEBORAH GREEN

II

January 5, 2018

Prepared for you by



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids
Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw • Troy

DEBORAH GREEN
January 5, 2018

	Page 92		Page 94
1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES	1	ALLYSON TERPSMA
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN	2	Warner, Norcross & Judd, L.L.P.
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION	3	111 Lyon Street, NW
4		4	Suite 900
5	SYLVIA JAMES,	5	Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503
6	Plaintiff,	6	616.752.2539
7	vs. Case No. 2:12-CV-10273	7	aterpsma@wnj.com
8	Hon. Paul Borman	8	Appearing on behalf of the Defendant Fischer.
9	HILLIARD HAMPTON, et al,	9	
10	Defendants.	10	
11	/	11	
12		12	
13		13	
14	The Deposition of DEBORAH GREEN, Vol. II	14	
15	Taken at 3030 West Grand Boulevard,	15	
16	Detroit, Michigan,	16	
17	Commencing at 9:56 a.m.	17	
18	Friday, January 5, 2018,	18	
19	Before Nora Morrissy, CSR-2642.	19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 93		Page 95
1	APPEARANCES:	1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2		2	
3	JASON HIRSCH	3	Witness
4	Morganroth & Morganroth, P.L.L.C.	4	DEBORAH GREEN
5	344 North Old Woodward	5	
6	Suite 200	6	EXAMINATION
7	Birmingham, Michigan 48009	7	BY MR. HIRSCH: 97
8	248.864.4000	8	
9	jhirsch@morganrothlaw.com	9	EXHIBITS
10	Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.	10	
11		11	Exhibit
12	JEANMARIE MILLER	12	(Exhibits not offered.)
13	Assistant Attorney General	13	
14	State of Michigan	14	
15	Department of Attorney General	15	
16	525 West Ottawa Street	16	
17	Floor 5	17	
18	Lansing, Michigan 48933	18	
19	517.373.6434	19	
20	millerj51@michigan.gov	20	
21	Appearing on behalf of the Defendant.	21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	

DEBORAH GREEN
January 5, 2018

Page 96	Page 98
<p>1 Detroit, Michigan 2 Friday, January 5, 2018 3 9:56 a.m. 4 5 DEBORAH GREEN, 6 was thereupon called as a witness herein, and after 7 having first been duly sworn to testify to the truth, 8 the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was 9 examined and testified as follows: 10 MR. HIRSCH: Good morning, Miss Green. We 11 met before, but my name is Jason Hirsch. I'm one of 12 the attorney for plaintiffs in this matter. 13 Let the record show that this is the 14 continuation of the deposition of Deborah Green taken 15 pursuant to notice under the Federal Rules of Civil 16 Procedure. 17 At our last session I went over some ground 18 rules. 19 Do you need me to go over those again or do 20 you have a pretty good recollection? 21 THE WITNESS: That's fine, I understand. 22 MR. HIRSCH: And I certainly don't expect 23 this is going to be very long, but if you do need a 24 break at any time, let me know. In fact I think it's 25 going to be short.</p>	<p>1 don't know if that was the entire issue in the civil case but I know it was part of the issues. 2 Q. It was at least one of the allegations that you recall? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you file a grievance against Judge Kandreas? 5 A. A Tenure Commission grievance, no. 6 Q. Why not? 7 A. The issue had come -- by court rule you can file a complaint with the State Court Administrative Office or the Tenure Commission. If you file a complaint with the State Court Administrative Office, I'm going to seek an administrative solution. It's an administrative office. We have no disciplinary authority. 8 So, when the issue with regard to -- he had 9 written a letter to a local grocery store soliciting, 10 arguably soliciting food for a softball game, and that 11 letter came to my attention, actually the court 12 administrator filed a complaint with me. 13 I looked at the letter, contacted Judge 14 Kandreas, talked to him about it, he understood the 15 letter was wrong. He didn't see it as solicitation 16 because the grocery store had donated food to this 17 baseball game for like 20 years. He was just letting</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p> <p>1 EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. HIRSCH: 3 Q. There were a few questions that I asked you last time 4 that you declined to answer based on the advice of 5 counsel and assertion of privilege. 6 Do you recall that? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And we had a session with Magistrate Judge Whalen who 9 determined that some of those questions should be 10 answered. 11 Do you understand that? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. I'm going to ask you some of those questions now, 14 okay? 15 A. Okay. 16 Q. You told me in our last session that you are familiar with Judge James Kandreas, correct? 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. And he was one of the judges in your region when you were a regional administrator, correct? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And you told me that you were familiar with a civil case that had allegations about the way Judge Kandreas had handled some funds, correct? 21 A. Yes. To the best of my knowledge that was part -- I</p>	<p>1 them know how much. 2 Anyway, I talked to him, he agreed it was 3 wrong, he agreed to never do it again. That was my 4 administrative solution. 5 I did not see any need to forward that to 6 the Tenure Commission. To me the problem was 7 solved. 8 Q. So, with respect to what you had seen in that letter, 9 you believe that the administrative solution was the 10 right solution with respect to Judge Kandreas, 11 correct? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Did you hear any other allegations regarding Judge 14 Kandreas that concerned you? 15 A. No. 16 Q. I'm going to move on to the next one now. 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. In our last session you told me that you were familiar 19 with Judge Mary Waterstone, correct? 20 A. If I said familiar, I misspoke. I barely knew the woman, and she was a member of one of the larger courts. I think she was Third Circuit or 36th District, I can't remember, and oftentimes I know very little about the individual judges. I tend to deal in the larger courts with the chief judge and the heads</p>

DEBORAH GREEN
January 5, 2018

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p> <p>1 of the divisions.</p> <p>2 Q. And to be fair the question I asked you about Judge</p> <p>3 Waterstone was, question, she was a judge in the Wayne</p> <p>4 County Circuit Court if that refresh your</p> <p>5 recollection, and you answered vaguely.</p> <p>6 That would be fair?</p> <p>7 A. That would be fair.</p> <p>8 Q. You did tell me you recall that she was a judge in</p> <p>9 your region?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. And you did tell me that you recalled that there were</p> <p>12 some allegations regarding Judge Waterstone suborning</p> <p>13 perjury, correct?</p> <p>14 A. If I recalled that then, I don't recall it now. I</p> <p>15 know there was some issues regarding Judge Waterstone.</p> <p>16 I believe I learned about them from the Tenure</p> <p>17 Commission.</p> <p>18 Q. So, given what you just told me you learned it from</p> <p>19 the Tenure Commission, I take it you did not file a</p> <p>20 grievance against Judge Waterstone, correct?</p> <p>21 A. Correct.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you have some understanding that a grievance had</p> <p>23 already been filed against Judge Waterstone?</p> <p>24 A. I knew she was already in trouble. I don't know how</p> <p>25 the -- the Tenure Commission can take issues up on</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 102</p> <p>1 wrong, don't do it, take it off. He did that</p> <p>2 immediately, never used it again.</p> <p>3 Secondly is it's my understanding that the</p> <p>4 primary reason for that civil lawsuit had to do with</p> <p>5 personnel, HR issues which SCAO does not get involved</p> <p>6 in. Every court has its own personnel policies, they</p> <p>7 often mirror their funding units policies. We don't</p> <p>8 have any rules about it, it's not our issue.</p> <p>9 So, I don't get involved in HR decisions at</p> <p>10 local courts.</p> <p>11 Q. But if you heard about something happening at a local</p> <p>12 court that was not an administrative issue but you</p> <p>13 felt warranted referral to the JTC, could you file a</p> <p>14 grievance?</p> <p>15 A. Anybody can file a grievance. Doesn't have to come</p> <p>16 from me. So, yeah.</p> <p>17 Q. Would you consider that part of your duties as a</p> <p>18 regional administrator?</p> <p>19 A. Duties, no.</p> <p>20 Q. At our last session you told me that you are familiar</p> <p>21 with Judge Marc Barron of the 48th District Court. Is</p> <p>22 that correct?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And you also told me that you were familiar with Judge</p> <p>25 Kimberly Small also of the 48th District Court,</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p> <p>1 their own without a grievance being filed. So, I</p> <p>2 don't know how it got to their attention. I just knew</p> <p>3 she was already in trouble.</p> <p>4 Q. Is that the reason then that you did not file a</p> <p>5 grievance?</p> <p>6 A. That and I had absolutely no firsthand knowledge of</p> <p>7 anything she had done.</p> <p>8 Q. At our last session you told me you were familiar with</p> <p>9 Judge Mark Somers, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. And he was a judge in your region, correct?</p> <p>12 A. Correct.</p> <p>13 Q. And you told me that you recalled there was a civil</p> <p>14 jury award rendered against Judge Somers, correct?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Did you file a grievance against Judge Somers?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Why not?</p> <p>19 A. Two parts to that answer. First is, the first problem</p> <p>20 that came to my attention with Judge Somers was</p> <p>21 similar to Judge Kandrevas in that the court</p> <p>22 administrator brought to my attention Judge Somers had</p> <p>23 a biblical reference at the bottom of his judicial</p> <p>24 letterhead. That's wrong.</p> <p>25 I met with Judge Somers, I said that's</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p> <p>1 correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And the 48th District Court was in your region,</p> <p>4 correct?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. And you told me in our last session that you were</p> <p>7 aware there was a civil case filed by a former clerk</p> <p>8 of the 48th District Court alleging improper</p> <p>9 termination and defamation, correct?</p> <p>10 A. Correct.</p> <p>11 Q. You told me in our last session you didn't know about</p> <p>12 the civil case until after the fact, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Correct.</p> <p>14 Q. When you said that, did you mean after the civil case</p> <p>15 had been initiated or did you mean after the judgment</p> <p>16 had been entered?</p> <p>17 A. I learned about it from reading about it in the</p> <p>18 newspaper, so, I believe that was after the judgment.</p> <p>19 Q. And did you file a grievance against Judge Barron?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. Why not?</p> <p>22 A. It was already public knowledge. The Tenure</p> <p>23 Commission can start cases on their own. I figured</p> <p>24 they could have read the newspaper too. I didn't see</p> <p>25 the need for me to initiate anything.</p>

DEBORAH GREEN
January 5, 2018

Page 104

1 **Again personnel issue, no firsthand**
2 **knowledge on my part.**
3 Q. And you said it was also because you felt the JTC
4 already knew or could know about it, correct?
5 **A. Correct.**
6 Q. So, there's no need for you to bring it to their
7 attention again, correct?
8 **A. Correct.**
9 Q. And not to put words in your mouth but I would ask you
10 the same question about Judge Small. Would your
11 answer be the same?
12 **A. Yes, it would.**
13 Q. And what did you do to prepare for the continuation of
14 your deposition today?
15 **A. I talked to the Assistant Attorney General for about**
16 **five minutes yesterday.**
17 MR. HIRSCH: I have nothing further. Thank
18 you.
19 MS. MILLER: I don't have anything.
20 MS. TERPSMA: Neither do I.
21 (The deposition was concluded at 10:08 a.m.
22 Signature of the witness was not requested by
23 counsel for the respective parties hereto.)
24
25

Page 105

1 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY
2 STATE OF MICHIGAN)
3) SS
4 COUNTY OF WAYNE)
5
6 I, Nora Morrissey, certify that this
7 deposition was taken before me on the date
8 hereinbefore set forth; that the foregoing questions
9 and answers were recorded by me stenographically and
10 reduced to computer transcription; that this is a
11 true, full and correct transcript of my stenographic
12 notes so taken; and that I am not related to, nor of
13 counsel to, either party nor interested in the event
14 of this cause.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

A circular notary seal with a yellow and black design, featuring the text "NOTARY PUBLIC" and "MICHIGAN" around the perimeter, and "NOTARIZED" in the center.

22 Nora Morrissey, CSR-2642
23 Notary Public,
24 Wayne, County, Michigan.
25 My Commission expires: 9-13-19

USLEGAL
SUPPORT

The Power of Commitment™

Pages 104 to 105

DEBORAH GREEN
January 5, 2018

Page 106

A	93:15 96:12 104:15 authority 98:15 award 101:14 aware 103:7	100:4 civil 96:15 97:22 98:1 101:13 102:4 103:7,12 103:14 clerk 103:7 come 98:9 102:15 Commencing 92:17 Commission 98:7,11 99:6 100:17,19,25 103:23 105:25 complaint 98:10 98:11,20 computer 105:10 concerned 99:14 concluded 104:21 consider 102:17 contacted 98:21 CONTENTS 95:1 continuation 96:14 104:13 correct 97:17,18 97:20,24 99:11 99:12,19 100:10,13,20 100:21 101:9 101:10,11,12 101:14 102:22 103:1,4,9,10 103:12,13 104:4,5,7,8 105:11 counsel 97:5 certify 105:6 chief 99:25 Circuit 99:22	105:4,24 court 92:1 98:9 98:10,12,19 100:4 101:21 102:6,12,21,25 103:3,8 courts 99:22,25 102:10 CSR-2642 92:19 105:22	duties 102:17,19
				E
				EASTERN 92:2 either 105:13 entered 103:16 entire 98:1 et 92:9 event 105:13 EXAMINATI... 95:6 97:1 examined 96:9 Exhibit 95:11 Exhibits 95:9,12 expect 96:22 expires 105:25
				F
				fact 96:24 103:12 fair 100:2,6,7 familiar 97:16 97:22 99:18,20 101:8 102:20 102:24 Federal 96:15 felt 102:13 104:3 figured 103:23 file 98:6,9,11 100:19 101:4 101:16 102:13 102:15 103:19 filed 98:20 100:23 101:1 103:7 fine 96:21 first 96:7 101:19 101:19 firsthand 101:6 104:1 Fischer 94:8

DEBORAH GREEN
January 5, 2018

Page 107

five 104:16	92:9	96:11	97:25 101:6	mirror 102:7
Floor 93:17	handled 97:24	JEANMARIE	103:22 104:2	misspoke 99:20
follows 96:9	happening	93:12	L	Morganroth
food 98:18,24	102:11	jhirsch@mor...	L.L.P 94:2	93:4,4
foregoing 105:8	heads 99:25	93:9	Lansing 93:18	morning 96:10
former 103:7	hear 99:13	JTC 102:13	larger 99:21,25	Morrissy 92:19
forth 105:8	heard 102:11	104:3	lawsuit 102:4	105:6,22
forward 99:5	hereinbefore	Judd 94:2	learned 100:16	mouth 104:9
Friday 92:18	105:8	judge 97:8,17,23	100:18 103:17	move 99:16
96:2	hereto 104:23	98:6,21 99:10	letter 98:17,19	N
full 105:11	HILLIARD	99:13,19,25	98:21,23 99:8	name 96:11
funding 102:7	92:9	100:2,3,8,12	letterhead	need 96:19,23
funds 97:24	Hirsch 93:3 95:7	100:15,20,23	101:24	99:5 103:25
further 104:17	96:10,11,22	101:9,11,14,16	letting 98:25	104:6
G	97:2 104:17	101:20,21,22	little 99:24	Neither 104:20
game 98:18,25	Hon 92:8	101:25 102:21	local 98:17	never 99:3 102:2
General 93:13	HR 102:5,9	102:24 103:19	102:10,11	newspaper
93:15 104:15	I	104:10	103:18,24	103:18,24
given 100:18	II 92:14	judges 97:19	Nora 92:19	Nora 92:19
go 96:19	immediately	99:24	105:6,22	105:6,22
going 96:23,25	102:2	judgment	Norcross 94:2	Norcross 94:2
97:13 98:12	improper 103:8	103:15,18	North 93:5	North 93:5
99:16	individual 99:24	judicial 101:23	Notary 105:1,23	Notary 105:1,23
good 96:10,20	initiate 103:25	jury 101:14	notes 105:12	notes 105:12
Grand 92:15	initiated 103:15	K	notice 96:15	notice 96:15
94:5	interested	Kandrevas	NW 94:3	NW 94:3
Green 92:14	105:13	97:17,24 98:6	O	O
95:4 96:5,10	involved 102:5,9	98:22 99:10,14	offered 95:12	offered 95:12
96:14	issue 98:1,9,16	101:21	office 98:10,12	98:14
grievance 98:6,7	102:8,12 104:1	Kimberly	oftentimes	oftentimes
100:20,22	issues 98:2	102:25	99:23	99:23
101:1,5,16	100:15,25	knew 99:20	okay 97:14,15	okay 97:14,15
102:14,15	102:5	100:24 101:2	99:17	99:17
103:19	J	104:4	Old 93:5	Old 93:5
grocery 98:17	James 92:5	know 96:24 98:1	Ottawa 93:16	Ottawa 93:16
98:24	97:17	98:2 99:1,23	P	P
ground 96:17	January 92:18	100:15,24	P.L.L.C 93:4	P.L.L.C 93:4
H	96:2	101:2 103:11		
HAMPTON	Jason 93:3	104:4		
		knowledge		

DEBORAH GREEN
January 5, 2018

Page 108

Page 95:3,11	recalled 100:11	97:8,16 99:18	T	understand
part 97:25 98:2	100:14 101:13	101:8 102:20	TABLE 95:1	96:21 97:11
102:17 104:2	recollection	103:6,11	take 100:19,25	understanding
parties 104:23	96:20 100:5	set 105:8	102:1	100:22 102:3
parts 101:19	record 96:13	short 96:25	taken 92:15	understood
party 105:13	recorded 105:9	show 96:13	96:14 105:7,12	98:22
Paul 92:8	reduced 105:10	Signature	talked 98:22	UNITED 92:1
perjury 100:13	reference	104:22	99:2 104:15	units 102:7
personnel 102:5	101:23	similar 101:21	tell 100:8,11	
102:6 104:1	referral 102:13	Small 102:25	tend 99:24	V
Plaintiff 92:6	refresh 100:4	104:10	Tenure 98:7,11	vaguely 100:5
93:10	regard 98:16	softball 98:18	99:6 100:16,19	Vol 92:14
plaintiffs 96:12	regarding 99:13	solicitation	100:25 103:22	vs 92:7
policies 102:6,7	100:12,15	98:23	termination	
prepare 104:13	region 97:19	soliciting 98:17	103:9	W
pretty 96:20	100:9 101:11	98:18	TERPSMA 94:1	Warner 94:2
primary 102:4	103:3	solution 98:13	104:20	warranted
privilege 97:5	regional 97:20	99:4,9,10	testified 96:9	102:13
problem 99:6	102:18	solved 99:7	testify 96:7	Waterstone
101:19	related 105:12	Somers 101:9,14	Thank 104:17	99:19 100:3,12
Procedure	remember	101:16,20,22	think 96:24	100:15,20,23
96:16	99:23	101:25	99:22	way 97:23
public 103:22	rendered 101:14	SOUTHERN	Third 99:22	Wayne 100:3
105:23	requested	92:3	time 96:24 97:3	105:4,24
pursuant 96:15	104:22	SS 105:3	today 104:14	went 96:17
put 104:9	respect 99:8,10	start 103:23	told 97:16,22	West 92:15
	respective	State 93:14	99:18 100:18	93:16
	104:23	98:10,12 105:2	101:8,13	Whalen 97:8
	right 99:10	STATES 92:1	102:20,24	witness 95:3
	rule 98:9	stenographic	103:6,11	96:6,21 104:22
	rules 96:15,18	105:11	transcript	woman 99:21
	102:8	stenographica...	105:11	Woodward 93:5
		105:9	transcription	words 104:9
		store 98:17,24	105:10	written 98:17
		Street 93:16	trouble 100:24	wrong 98:23
		94:3	101:3	99:3 101:24
		suborning	true 105:11	102:1
		100:12	truth 96:7,8,8	
		Suite 93:6 94:4	Two 101:19	X
		sworn 96:7		
		SYLVIA 92:5		Y
				U

DEBORAH GREEN
January 5, 2018

Page 109

yeah 102:16	616.752.2539		
years 98:25	94:6		
yesterday		<u>7</u>	
104:16			
<u>Z</u>	<u>8</u>		
<u>0</u>	<u>9</u>		
<u>1</u>	9-13-19 105:25		
10:08 104:21	9:56 92:17 96:3		
111 94:3	900 94:4		
	97 95:7		
<u>2</u>			
2:12-CV-10273			
92:7			
20 98:25			
200 93:6			
2018 92:18 96:2			
248.864.4000			
93:8			
<u>3</u>			
3030 92:15			
344 93:5			
36th 99:22			
<u>4</u>			
48009 93:7			
48933 93:18			
48th 102:21,25			
103:3,8			
49503 94:5			
<u>5</u>			
5 92:18 93:17			
96:2			
517.373.6434			
93:19			
525 93:16			
<u>6</u>			